

WEBVTT – This file was automatically generated by event.video

0

00:00:00.155 --> 00:00:01.005

Good morning everyone.

1

00:00:01.675 --> 00:00:03.605

It's nine 30 and the hearing is resumed.

2

00:00:05.065 --> 00:00:06.925

Um, this is an issue specific hearing, dealing

3

00:00:06.925 --> 00:00:08.005

with environmental matters.

4

00:00:09.285 --> 00:00:10.385

Uh, can I just remind everyone

5

00:00:10.385 --> 00:00:12.345

to put their phones on silent, please?

6

00:00:14.155 --> 00:00:15.735

Um, we're not expecting any fire alarms,

7

00:00:15.735 --> 00:00:17.775

but if there is one, we exit by the fire doors

8

00:00:17.965 --> 00:00:20.055

through the reception down the main stairs

9

00:00:20.075 --> 00:00:22.135

and congregate outside on the street.

10

00:00:26.455 --> 00:00:28.995

Um, I intend to take a break at around 11,

11

00:00:29.295 --> 00:00:33.155

and then for lunch is around one o'clock for 45 minutes.

12

00:00:35.765 --> 00:00:40.145

Um, just to remind you that we as the Xa have

13

00:00:40.865 --> 00:00:42.945

a set of questions we'd really like to get through today.

14

00:00:43.595 --> 00:00:47.785

We'll be asking the parties who they're directed to, um,

15

00:00:47.845 --> 00:00:49.105

for answers for those questions,

16

00:00:49.105 --> 00:00:50.425

and we'll come to interested parties

17

00:00:50.595 --> 00:00:53.145

after we've completed those questions.

18

00:00:55.375 --> 00:01:00.265

Um, in terms of the agenda, we're going

19

00:01:00.265 --> 00:01:05.025

to move agenda item six, sorry, agenda item 11,

20

00:01:05.025 --> 00:01:06.345

which is land quality to

21

00:01:06.345 --> 00:01:08.825

after agenda item six, which is water resources.

22

00:01:08.825 --> 00:01:11.785

Unless anyone has any particular objections to that.

23

00:01:13.925 --> 00:01:16.815

This is because the environment agency will be here this

24

00:01:16.815 --> 00:01:18.975

morning and we'll be able to answer those questions.

25

00:01:22.725 --> 00:01:24.095

Okay. I'm seeing no hands raised.

26

00:01:24.915 --> 00:01:29.465

So in that case, We'll proceed with agenda item five,

27

00:01:30.355 --> 00:01:32.985

which is ecology, and I'll hand over over to Ms.

28

00:01:33.175 --> 00:01:36.065

Abre Reky to lead on that. Thank you.

29

00:01:36.875 --> 00:01:40.585

Thank you. I just wanted to check

30

00:01:40.585 --> 00:01:41.865

with the applicant, obviously.

31

00:01:42.205 --> 00:01:44.145

Um, you mentioned yesterday

32

00:01:44.215 --> 00:01:45.545

that unfortunately the leader

33

00:01:45.545 --> 00:01:47.025

college isn't well at the moment.

34

00:01:48.085 --> 00:01:50.425

Um, you've seen the agenda items.

35

00:01:50.685 --> 00:01:52.145

Do, do you feel that it's possible

36

00:01:52.145 --> 00:01:53.985

to answer questions on those at present?

37

00:01:55.885 --> 00:02:00.865

Yes, madam. Um, apart from the final one

38

00:02:01.165 --> 00:02:05.945

to do with impact on protected species, um, we've,

39

00:02:06.635 --> 00:02:08.705

we've, we've been preparing, um,

40

00:02:09.245 --> 00:02:12.425

and we think that we can give you meaningful answers from

41

00:02:12.675 --> 00:02:13.785

other members of the team.

42

00:02:14.095 --> 00:02:15.305

Okay, thank you. If

43

00:02:15.325 --> 00:02:17.745

We get stuck, we will shout, however.

44

00:02:18.245 --> 00:02:19.305

Yes, please do. Thank you.

45

00:02:19.305 --> 00:02:20.305

The alternative is obviously

46

00:02:20.305 --> 00:02:22.225

to take those away action points, the questions

47

00:02:22.225 --> 00:02:23.825

that I had, um, yep.

48

00:02:23.885 --> 00:02:25.345

If, if, if it comes to that. Okay,

49

00:02:25.345 --> 00:02:26.345

Thank you.

50

00:02:27.725 --> 00:02:29.745

So turn to item agenda ecology.

51

00:02:29.995 --> 00:02:32.265

These questions are based on the landscape, ecological

52

00:02:32.325 --> 00:02:33.585

and recreational management plan.

53

00:02:33.805 --> 00:02:37.105

Um, with reference a s um, 0 6 6

54

00:02:38.535 --> 00:02:42.425

Regards to the recreational impacts on stoke qua, uh, site

55

00:02:42.425 --> 00:02:45.065

of special scientific interest or triple ssi.

56

00:02:45.925 --> 00:02:48.145

In natural England's response to ex Q1

57

00:02:48.885 --> 00:02:51.625

and within CRE County council's local impact report,

58

00:02:51.695 --> 00:02:53.945

they state that a baseline should be established in order

59

00:02:53.945 --> 00:02:57.465

to assess increased recreational pressure On the Triple SI,

60

00:02:58.575 --> 00:03:00.405

they suggest that the landscape, ecological

61

00:03:00.545 --> 00:03:03.245

and recreational management plan should be strengthened

62

00:03:03.245 --> 00:03:05.085

to provide clear commitments in this regard.

63

00:03:06.165 --> 00:03:08.345

Whilst the proposed mitigation measures within the

64

00:03:08.345 --> 00:03:09.665

landscape, ecological

65

00:03:09.725 --> 00:03:11.585

and recreational management plan are noted

66

00:03:11.585 --> 00:03:12.665

by the examining authority,

67

00:03:13.205 --> 00:03:14.745

please could the applicant confirm

68

00:03:14.925 --> 00:03:17.905

how would increase recreational pressure be established if

69

00:03:17.985 --> 00:03:20.105

there's not a baseline to compare findings against?

70

00:03:23.785 --> 00:03:25.775

Thank you madam. Um, Mr.

71

00:03:26.275 --> 00:03:30.895

Andrew Pryor, uh, is dealing with this topic generally.

72

00:03:31.435 --> 00:03:36.095

Um, I don't know whether he can deal with, um, uh, that

73

00:03:36.735 --> 00:03:40.575

specific question, but, um, if, if you are content

74

00:03:40.575 --> 00:03:42.255

to bring him in now, we'll find out.

75

00:03:42.305 --> 00:03:43.305

Thank you.

76

00:03:46.355 --> 00:03:47.445

Good morning, madam.

77

00:03:47.465 --> 00:03:48.965

Um, Andrew Pryor, uh,

78

00:03:48.965 --> 00:03:50.845

consultant appearing for the applicant.

79

00:03:51.265 --> 00:03:53.205

Um, uh,

80

00:03:53.805 --> 00:03:56.525

I think our basic contention here would be

81

00:03:56.525 --> 00:03:59.125

that the recreational pressures are not going

82

00:03:59.125 --> 00:04:00.805

to be increased from our project.

83

00:04:01.675 --> 00:04:06.485

That, um, uh, we are, uh, producing, uh,

84

00:04:06.625 --> 00:04:10.765

uh, an area of recreational, uh, facility

85

00:04:10.765 --> 00:04:13.805

that would be used primarily by, by local individuals.

86

00:04:13.985 --> 00:04:17.325

The opening up of that, um, uh, right of way

87

00:04:17.385 --> 00:04:20.085

or permissive path, um, will inevitably

88

00:04:21.125 --> 00:04:25.285

increase footfall along towards, um, the sto sto

89

00:04:26.315 --> 00:04:28.445

umen and the wider area.

90

00:04:28.905 --> 00:04:31.645

But the, those pressures are not gonna be primarily coming

91

00:04:31.645 --> 00:04:32.885

from our development.

92

00:04:32.885 --> 00:04:35.645

They're gonna be coming from future housing development on

93

00:04:35.645 --> 00:04:37.085

the, on the edge of Cambridge.

94

00:04:37.585 --> 00:04:40.805

Um, so we would contend that it's not for us

95

00:04:40.805 --> 00:04:43.805

to establish the broader regional baseline.

96

00:04:44.185 --> 00:04:48.645

Um, however, we have made a commitment to join, uh,

97

00:04:48.905 --> 00:04:51.325

an advisory group formed of

98

00:04:51.905 --> 00:04:54.085

all local relevant environmental stakeholders

99

00:04:54.785 --> 00:04:56.485

and the housing developers to

100

00:04:57.115 --> 00:04:59.565

work on the man broader management of that area.

101

00:04:59.595 --> 00:05:00.965

Then that's the advisory group.

102

00:05:01.505 --> 00:05:03.125

Um, the first meeting of

103

00:05:03.125 --> 00:05:05.445

that advisory group is either I think the next

104

00:05:05.445 --> 00:05:06.565

week or the week after next.

105

00:05:07.065 --> 00:05:11.325

Um, and National Trust, uh, natural England,

106

00:05:11.745 --> 00:05:13.285

the wildlife trusts have all been

107

00:05:13.285 --> 00:05:14.365

in invited to that meeting.

108

00:05:15.535 --> 00:05:19.395

So we would, we would say that, uh, those broader,

109

00:05:19.725 --> 00:05:22.635

wider spatial issues aren't, aren't really within the scope

110

00:05:22.635 --> 00:05:23.675

of our application.

111

00:05:23.845 --> 00:05:27.835

Thank you. I think, um, I know your comments.

112

00:05:28.425 --> 00:05:30.315

This is something that natural England,

113

00:05:30.755 --> 00:05:32.035

I believe the councils have raised.

114

00:05:32.035 --> 00:05:35.235

So it does seem to be quite a significant issue in terms of

115

00:05:35.345 --> 00:05:37.555

what, um, ipss have raised.

116

00:05:38.935 --> 00:05:40.075

Is, is there not a way

117

00:05:40.075 --> 00:05:41.835

that establishing a baseline would ensure

118

00:05:41.835 --> 00:05:43.955

that the development doesn't increase the recreational

119

00:05:44.595 --> 00:05:45.755

pressures on the triples?

120

00:05:45.835 --> 00:05:49.315

I, what, what's the applicant's sort of adversity to,

121

00:05:49.315 --> 00:05:50.795
to establishing this baseline?

122

00:05:53.415 --> 00:05:56.305
Well, I, I would say the impossibility of doing that

123

00:05:56.305 --> 00:05:59.885
because it, the, the impacts will arise in the future from

124

00:06:00.495 --> 00:06:01.765
other housing development.

125

00:06:02.185 --> 00:06:04.125
At the moment, the site and,

126

00:06:04.125 --> 00:06:07.525
and the environmental statement recognizes this is merely

127

00:06:07.695 --> 00:06:11.605
mitigating the impacts of the development on local, um,

128

00:06:12.205 --> 00:06:13.405
recreational users.

129

00:06:13.665 --> 00:06:17.365
So it is formalizing the current informal use of the site.

130

00:06:18.025 --> 00:06:21.405
Um, it's not a destination in its own right.

131

00:06:21.905 --> 00:06:23.645
Uh, there's no provision of car parking,

132

00:06:24.145 --> 00:06:26.725
so we don't foresee significant, uh,

133

00:06:26.965 --> 00:06:29.605
footfall in the wider area arising from our project.

134

00:06:29.745 --> 00:06:33.365

We are enabling a new path that will allow circular routes,

135

00:06:33.365 --> 00:06:35.645

and again, the ES and the LRP focused on that,

136

00:06:36.025 --> 00:06:40.245

but we feel that the significant impacts on

137

00:06:41.125 --> 00:06:42.685

features such as the fan won't, won't,

138

00:06:42.685 --> 00:06:43.845

won't arise from our project.

139

00:06:45.065 --> 00:06:46.725

Do you think it would be difficult to distinguish

140

00:06:46.725 --> 00:06:48.045

between the impacts from the housing

141

00:06:48.045 --> 00:06:49.325

and the impacts from the development?

142

00:06:50.225 --> 00:06:53.485

Um, uh, no.

143

00:06:53.525 --> 00:06:54.565

I think it's relatively easy

144

00:06:54.565 --> 00:06:58.205

because we won't be, we won't be giving rise to,

145

00:06:58.385 --> 00:06:59.885

to increased footfall.

146

00:06:59.885 --> 00:07:03.005

There won't be people coming to the site to visit it

147

00:07:03.005 --> 00:07:04.445

because there's no provision for that.

148

00:07:04.445 --> 00:07:08.485

Whereas 9,000 new people on the Mali development would, uh,

149

00:07:08.495 --> 00:07:10.765

would increase regional footfall.

150

00:07:11.025 --> 00:07:13.645

Uh, so our contribution would be minimal.

151

00:07:14.265 --> 00:07:19.005

Um, that's why I think a regional working

152

00:07:19.015 --> 00:07:21.765

group would be the appropriate approach that we can work

153

00:07:21.765 --> 00:07:23.765

with all the stakeholders to try

154

00:07:23.765 --> 00:07:25.045

and manage facilities appropriately.

155

00:07:25.065 --> 00:07:28.965

And from our point of view, that would be, um, management

156

00:07:28.965 --> 00:07:32.325

through the learn area, uh, managing signage

157

00:07:32.325 --> 00:07:34.205

and interpretation to, to assist

158

00:07:34.225 --> 00:07:36.525

and coordinate with that wider point, um,

159

00:07:36.915 --> 00:07:39.405

including signage along the, uh,

160

00:07:39.685 --> 00:07:41.165

proposed bridge away or permissive path.

161

00:07:41.905 --> 00:07:45.605

Um, but our overall contribution, we believe is, is minimal,

162

00:07:45.625 --> 00:07:46.845

and that's the position

163

00:07:46.845 --> 00:07:49.925

that the environmental statement has, has set out.

164

00:07:50.665 --> 00:07:53.365

You are proposing mitigation measures against increased

165

00:07:53.365 --> 00:07:55.605

recreational pressures within the landscape,

166

00:07:55.605 --> 00:07:57.285

agricultural recreational management plan

167

00:07:57.875 --> 00:07:59.885

Only within the LL area though,

168

00:07:59.935 --> 00:08:02.765

which wouldn't manage those broader issues in the, in the,

169

00:08:02.765 --> 00:08:04.245

in the wider landscape.

170

00:08:06.425 --> 00:08:09.025

I suppose if, if you are proposing mitigation measures

171

00:08:09.025 --> 00:08:11.545

against the increased recreational pressures, that

172

00:08:11.545 --> 00:08:14.065

that's been sort of your response to date on this?

173

00:08:15.185 --> 00:08:16.785

I don't factory, no.

174

00:08:16.905 --> 00:08:19.545

I, I take, I don't, I don't think we are proposing measures

175

00:08:19.605 --> 00:08:21.905

to reduce broader,

176

00:08:22.815 --> 00:08:24.625

broader landscape impacts in the lrp.

177

00:08:24.625 --> 00:08:28.305

The lrp, um, management area is,

178

00:08:28.445 --> 00:08:30.905

is very clearly defined within the red line boundary

179

00:08:30.905 --> 00:08:33.865

of the project, and those mitigations are designed to, uh,

180

00:08:33.865 --> 00:08:36.905

integrate with any broader regional provisions, uh,

181

00:08:36.935 --> 00:08:39.025

including signposting along the right of way.

182

00:08:39.495 --> 00:08:40.585

Okay. But I don't, I,

183

00:08:40.745 --> 00:08:43.465

I don't think the learn would be a mechanism for, um,

184

00:08:45.145 --> 00:08:47.185

managing wider impacts.

185

00:08:47.695 --> 00:08:50.545

It's just not appropriate to do that in that document. Okay.

186

00:08:51.045 --> 00:08:52.585

Can I ask if Cambridge County Council

187

00:08:52.765 --> 00:08:53.985

has comments on this, please?

188

00:08:56.705 --> 00:08:59.475

Morning, madam. Um, I understand that, uh, Ms.

189

00:08:59.565 --> 00:09:03.035

Ahmed, who is the, um, county ecological officers online?

190

00:09:09.155 --> 00:09:12.205

Good morning. Debra Ahmed, chemistry County Council.

191

00:09:12.985 --> 00:09:16.205

Um, yeah, our concerns have been really the impact

192

00:09:16.225 --> 00:09:17.565

of recreational pressure.

193

00:09:17.565 --> 00:09:19.005

And as you say, if we don't have a

194

00:09:19.285 --> 00:09:20.445

baseline, we're not aware of that.

195

00:09:21.105 --> 00:09:26.005

Um, the proposals within the LER MP just look at, uh,

196

00:09:26.005 --> 00:09:30.405

visitor usage of the actual, uh, new treatment plant.

197

00:09:30.705 --> 00:09:32.525

So it would be very difficult

198

00:09:32.625 --> 00:09:36.765

to ascertain if there are any impacts on the, um, on the

199

00:09:37.485 --> 00:09:40.685

SI because that falls outside the lump.

200

00:09:41.265 --> 00:09:44.245

So we would be looking for an assessment on the actual

201

00:09:44.275 --> 00:09:47.445

triples I and have a baseline to say, yes,

202

00:09:47.445 --> 00:09:49.285

this is the current recreational pressure.

203

00:09:49.825 --> 00:09:53.445

And, and if, uh, there are users to there, uh,

204

00:09:53.545 --> 00:09:55.885

if surveys are undertaken to understand

205

00:09:55.885 --> 00:09:58.325

where visitors are coming from, whether they're coming from

206

00:09:58.945 --> 00:10:00.805

the wastewater treatment plant

207

00:10:00.985 --> 00:10:03.685

or whether they're coming from future development, then

208

00:10:03.685 --> 00:10:07.285

that would be picked up and be able to be, uh, split out.

209

00:10:08.395 --> 00:10:13.375

Uh, I, in the L-E-R-M-P, the proposal is to focus the

210

00:10:14.565 --> 00:10:16.615

surveys on the first five years

211

00:10:17.235 --> 00:10:20.335

and then incrementally every five years afterwards.

212

00:10:21.275 --> 00:10:24.855

So I would, uh, suggest that in those first few years

213

00:10:24.915 --> 00:10:27.775

before the future development comes forward,

214

00:10:28.085 --> 00:10:30.535

that would also give a good indication of what

215

00:10:31.335 --> 00:10:33.695

pressures are on the, uh, new treatment plant

216

00:10:33.915 --> 00:10:37.495

and what impact there would be from the current, uh,

217

00:10:38.165 --> 00:10:41.975

from the changes of the existing, uh, permissive paths

218

00:10:42.155 --> 00:10:44.295

and rights of way across that land.

219

00:10:49.135 --> 00:10:50.955

Can I ask the applicant for a response, please?

220

00:10:51.885 --> 00:10:53.535

Yeah. Thank, thank you, madam.

221

00:10:53.595 --> 00:10:56.815

Um, I think there's some confusion here about the,

222

00:10:56.905 --> 00:10:59.455

about the source of the impacts.

223

00:10:59.675 --> 00:11:03.935

So, um, this is a, a local,

224

00:11:04.705 --> 00:11:09.655

wider regional issue of impacts coming from elsewhere.

225

00:11:09.795 --> 00:11:13.095

We are, we are not introducing new recreational

226

00:11:13.265 --> 00:11:14.535

users into the area.

227

00:11:14.865 --> 00:11:16.495

We've been very clear from the outset

228

00:11:16.495 --> 00:11:17.855

that this isn't a destination.

229

00:11:18.555 --> 00:11:20.055
All we're doing is formalizing

230

00:11:20.195 --> 00:11:23.935
and mitigating, uh, impacts on existing recreational users.

231

00:11:24.635 --> 00:11:27.255
The, um, the position

232

00:11:27.355 --> 00:11:31.255
and the challenge that the council's officers just

233

00:11:31.735 --> 00:11:34.735
identified is a, is not related to our development.

234

00:11:34.955 --> 00:11:39.695
It is a broader local issue associated with

235

00:11:40.255 --> 00:11:44.055
managing, uh, natural resources over a much wider area.

236

00:11:44.075 --> 00:11:48.215
And I'm not sure why the applicant should be being sought

237

00:11:48.215 --> 00:11:51.615
to provide and categorize a baseline for

238

00:11:51.615 --> 00:11:54.005
that broader, wider issue.

239

00:11:54.285 --> 00:11:56.685
I don't think there's a linkage between our development

240

00:11:56.745 --> 00:11:59.325
and impacts on that triple si.

241

00:11:59.905 --> 00:12:03.685
Yes, I, I would just add that, um, if Ms.

242

00:12:03.795 --> 00:12:05.525

Ahed is assuming

243

00:12:05.985 --> 00:12:09.085

or wanting a baseline survey on the triple si, that

244

00:12:09.085 --> 00:12:13.205

that's not within the order limits, it's not, uh,

245

00:12:13.205 --> 00:12:16.325

within the control of our clients.

246

00:12:17.185 --> 00:12:18.445

Uh, although as Mr.

247

00:12:18.695 --> 00:12:22.885

Pryor has said, there is a corporate commitment, uh,

248

00:12:22.945 --> 00:12:26.285

as opposed to a requirement in the DCO,

249

00:12:26.305 --> 00:12:30.765

but a corporate, uh, commitment, uh, to work collaboratively

250

00:12:31.155 --> 00:12:32.925

with, with the local forum.

251

00:12:33.705 --> 00:12:38.605

Um, and, and really that's as far as we can go.

252

00:12:41.705 --> 00:12:43.205

Um, Ms. Lama, did you have any comments

253

00:12:43.265 --> 00:12:44.285

in response to that, please?

254

00:12:44.645 --> 00:12:47.645

I think we just want to, um, establish exactly

255

00:12:47.755 --> 00:12:50.445

what your concerns are regarding the impacts

256

00:12:50.445 --> 00:12:52.645

of the proposed development on the Triple SI.

257

00:12:53.515 --> 00:12:56.885

Yeah. So it would be, um, from visitors using the site

258

00:12:57.545 --> 00:13:01.725

and then from opening up the, um, the area

259

00:13:01.945 --> 00:13:06.085

for recreational use, um, in terms of the circular paths.

260

00:13:06.905 --> 00:13:10.245

So it's the increasing of the provision

261

00:13:10.345 --> 00:13:12.205

for access across the area.

262

00:13:12.745 --> 00:13:16.045

So for example, um, improving the right of way access

263

00:13:16.185 --> 00:13:17.885

or the other rights

264

00:13:17.885 --> 00:13:20.605

of way permissive paths as part of the scheme,

265

00:13:21.915 --> 00:13:23.005

It's the applicant's stance

266

00:13:23.005 --> 00:13:25.525

that the proposed development wouldn't increase

267

00:13:25.675 --> 00:13:27.045

visitor numbers in that regard.

268

00:13:27.105 --> 00:13:29.565

So do I take it, it's your stance that you consider

269

00:13:29.565 --> 00:13:31.685

that those numbers would be increased as a result

270

00:13:31.685 --> 00:13:32.725
of the proposed development?

271

00:13:34.175 --> 00:13:34.395
Yes.

272

00:13:39.865 --> 00:13:43.865
I mean, if these facilities are being enhanced, would

273

00:13:43.865 --> 00:13:46.025
that surely not lead to an increased number

274

00:13:46.025 --> 00:13:47.345
of people wanting to use them?

275

00:13:50.135 --> 00:13:52.355
The, the, the, it's not enhancements,

276

00:13:52.355 --> 00:13:54.515
it's mitigation I think would be really clear there.

277

00:13:54.815 --> 00:13:58.235
Um, there would be increased footfall clearly along

278

00:13:58.235 --> 00:14:00.995
that right away, which is currently used informally.

279

00:14:01.615 --> 00:14:03.555
Um, but there's nothing

280

00:14:03.555 --> 00:14:07.475
to suggest in the environmental statement confirms that,

281

00:14:07.475 --> 00:14:10.035
that this would lead directly to increased footfall

282

00:14:10.055 --> 00:14:15.045
or impact on, on the, so our position is,

283

00:14:15.065 --> 00:14:19.515

is that this is a broader regional management issue,

284

00:14:19.535 --> 00:14:21.075

not one related to our development.

285

00:14:22.725 --> 00:14:25.675

Could I just follow this up from the, the public right

286

00:14:25.675 --> 00:14:26.955

of way, point of view, please.

287

00:14:27.615 --> 00:14:31.235

Um, what exactly is it that you are seeking to mitigate?

288

00:14:31.235 --> 00:14:35.155

Because there's no public rights away across the development

289

00:14:35.155 --> 00:14:38.315

site and there's no permissive routes as I understand it.

290

00:14:40.365 --> 00:14:44.835

Thank you, sir. Um, so the mitigation is for the loss

291

00:14:45.015 --> 00:14:46.955

of recreational immunity occasioned

292

00:14:46.955 --> 00:14:48.275

by the presence of the site.

293

00:14:48.815 --> 00:14:51.570

Uh, so the presence of the water treatment works on the site

294

00:14:52.225 --> 00:14:54.965

on current users of Lowen driveway

295

00:14:55.025 --> 00:14:58.285

and the public, existing public rights of way.

296

00:14:59.225 --> 00:15:01.445

So it's a landscape and vi like a visual amenity

297

00:15:01.505 --> 00:15:02.965

And, and to some extent odor

298

00:15:02.965 --> 00:15:07.085

because some of the, uh, odor contour crosses that Right.

299

00:15:07.085 --> 00:15:10.125

Um, uh, existing public right of way.

300

00:15:10.545 --> 00:15:14.165

And if as various parties are concerned,

301

00:15:14.745 --> 00:15:17.165

it does give rise to, um,

302

00:15:17.165 --> 00:15:20.365

additional pressure which they equate with impact

303

00:15:20.625 --> 00:15:25.045

and harm, is it actually worth providing that,

304

00:15:25.825 --> 00:15:28.645

um, as you say, mitigation

305

00:15:29.505 --> 00:15:32.605

or, um, is it better just to keep the,

306

00:15:33.705 --> 00:15:35.085

the harm you've described?

307

00:15:35.635 --> 00:15:36.965

Does one outweigh the other?

308

00:15:38.005 --> 00:15:40.845

I, I think so we would feel, and we we may ask Mr.

309

00:15:40.845 --> 00:15:42.525

Bowles to deal with this in terms of the playing statement,

310

00:15:42.525 --> 00:15:45.605

we would feel that the, um, the mitigation,

311

00:15:46.425 --> 00:15:49.545

recreational mitigation provides significant benefits.

312

00:15:50.125 --> 00:15:53.345

Um, I think the linkage you've just flagged up

313

00:15:53.345 --> 00:15:57.915

between pressure and harm is tenuous at the moment.

314

00:15:58.525 --> 00:15:59.755

Again, the environmental statement

315

00:15:59.755 --> 00:16:01.075

doesn't identify that impact.

316

00:16:01.615 --> 00:16:06.315

Um, we feel that this is best addressed collaboratively

317

00:16:06.315 --> 00:16:08.515

with stakeholders through, through,

318

00:16:08.515 --> 00:16:10.635

through ongoing relationships and working.

319

00:16:10.855 --> 00:16:14.075

We have that first meeting in the next couple of weeks where

320

00:16:14.895 --> 00:16:17.595

people may, stakeholders may present, uh,

321

00:16:17.785 --> 00:16:19.235

more formal requirements about how

322

00:16:19.235 --> 00:16:20.315

to manage pressures ongoing.

323

00:16:20.615 --> 00:16:24.395

If something comes outta those discussions, um, for example,

324

00:16:24.755 --> 00:16:25.835
specific concrete measures about

325

00:16:25.835 --> 00:16:28.755
how you could measure the measure potential, uh, changes

326

00:16:28.935 --> 00:16:31.235
and impacts and pressures, then we,

327

00:16:31.295 --> 00:16:32.515
we would collaborate with that.

328

00:16:32.575 --> 00:16:34.915
But at the moment, there's nothing in front of us to say

329

00:16:35.305 --> 00:16:36.675
that any impact will arise

330

00:16:36.775 --> 00:16:40.315
or that there's anything that our project would,

331

00:16:40.485 --> 00:16:42.195
would contribute to.

332

00:16:42.705 --> 00:16:45.955
Well, it's, it's a difficult question for us as well

333

00:16:45.955 --> 00:16:47.435
to grapple with as an xa

334

00:16:47.435 --> 00:16:52.155
because yesterday we heard that one of the benefits

335

00:16:52.175 --> 00:16:54.115
of the scheme is creating an opportunity

336

00:16:55.055 --> 00:16:56.755
for another development to take place.

337

00:16:57.655 --> 00:17:01.595

You were in effect creating an opportunity for other people

338

00:17:01.855 --> 00:17:04.875

to use a, a, um, facility.

339

00:17:05.095 --> 00:17:08.355

So how can you ask us to disregard that?

340

00:17:09.015 --> 00:17:10.355

So, sir, that's, that's correct.

341

00:17:10.355 --> 00:17:12.395

However, we were also, uh,

342

00:17:12.505 --> 00:17:17.115

producing a recreational facility, uh, in effect a, a sang

343

00:17:17.705 --> 00:17:20.355

that, um, will reduce

344

00:17:21.675 --> 00:17:24.395

recreational pressures in the border area.

345

00:17:24.815 --> 00:17:29.795

Uh, any future housing developments would have access

346

00:17:29.795 --> 00:17:33.035

to our open green space that we are providing.

347

00:17:33.255 --> 00:17:36.275

We are, we're providing in this area really significant

348

00:17:36.275 --> 00:17:40.355

recreational feature that will, excuse me, remove, remove

349

00:17:40.955 --> 00:17:43.075

pressure on existing open green features.

350

00:17:43.495 --> 00:17:47.595

People use this land currently, um, in an informal,

351

00:17:47.825 --> 00:17:51.045

potentially unlawful way, and we are formalizing that.

352

00:17:51.105 --> 00:17:52.765

So that is a significant recreational

353

00:17:52.765 --> 00:17:53.885

benefit in its own right.

354

00:17:54.425 --> 00:17:58.165

Um, we would argue that that provision is reducing pressure,

355

00:17:59.025 --> 00:18:00.165

not increasing pressure.

356

00:18:03.305 --> 00:18:05.465

I think it's important for the Thank you, Mr.

357

00:18:05.655 --> 00:18:08.625

I'll come back to you. Um, I think it's important for us to,

358

00:18:09.125 --> 00:18:13.915

to understand perhaps what the council thinks in terms of,

359

00:18:14.295 --> 00:18:17.715

um, or the, the evidence that they've got to suggest

360

00:18:17.715 --> 00:18:20.635

that it will increase impacts on the triple SI.

361

00:18:21.015 --> 00:18:23.675

Um, obviously we've got two quite different stances at the

362

00:18:23.675 --> 00:18:25.755

moment, so I, I think it's important

363

00:18:25.815 --> 00:18:28.195

for the examining authority to have that evidence.

364

00:18:29.685 --> 00:18:32.335

Well, madam, I, we could turn again to, to Ms.

365

00:18:32.385 --> 00:18:36.095

Ahmed, but I, I have completely understood the point, uh, a

366

00:18:36.195 --> 00:18:39.935

and, um, if there are differences, a, we need to be, um,

367

00:18:39.935 --> 00:18:42.255

hammering them out in the statements of common ground,

368

00:18:42.755 --> 00:18:45.535

but also, um, clearly you would like the evidence

369

00:18:45.565 --> 00:18:48.855

that supports the county's concerns, I'll just, uh, ask Ms.

370

00:18:49.055 --> 00:18:51.335

Almond if she wants to, um, raise anything further.

371

00:18:53.695 --> 00:18:56.935

I think the main, uh, point around this area is that many

372

00:18:56.935 --> 00:19:01.295

of our triple I are already at, um, really increased, um,

373

00:19:01.615 --> 00:19:02.655

pressure from recreation.

374

00:19:03.195 --> 00:19:07.335

So the, um, especially during covid, we've had lots

375

00:19:07.335 --> 00:19:09.095

of small visitors to these sites, so

376

00:19:09.235 --> 00:19:12.375

that's why we're very cautious with these sites that, uh,

377

00:19:12.475 --> 00:19:15.295

we need to make sure that they aren't succumbed

378

00:19:15.295 --> 00:19:16.655
to any additional pressures.

379

00:19:17.235 --> 00:19:18.235
Uh,

380

00:19:21.545 --> 00:19:23.815
Madam it, it, we will take that point away, uh,

381

00:19:23.815 --> 00:19:25.575
and action it, but also clearly we'll be in

382

00:19:25.575 --> 00:19:26.895
discussion with the applicant.

383

00:19:27.545 --> 00:19:30.735
Thank you. I think thank, thank you.

384

00:19:31.015 --> 00:19:32.535
I think that rather makes the point

385

00:19:32.535 --> 00:19:34.135
that these are existing pressures from

386

00:19:34.455 --> 00:19:35.495
existing recreational users.

387

00:19:35.675 --> 00:19:37.255
We are not increasing that footfall,

388

00:19:37.255 --> 00:19:39.735
and this is a strategic management issue that falls

389

00:19:39.735 --> 00:19:41.135
outside our project application.

390

00:19:41.535 --> 00:19:43.295
I, I think one additional point I'd make,

391

00:19:43.295 --> 00:19:45.215

and we will talk with the local author about,

392

00:19:45.285 --> 00:19:48.415

it's the solution here is not for angling water

393

00:19:48.435 --> 00:19:49.735

to pay for baseline studies.

394

00:19:50.155 --> 00:19:54.135

The solution is for natural England, the local authority

395

00:19:54.355 --> 00:19:58.095

and the trustees to propose specific management measures

396

00:19:58.315 --> 00:20:00.895

and see if they are related to our development.

397

00:20:00.955 --> 00:20:03.015

If that's the case through the advisory group,

398

00:20:03.515 --> 00:20:05.895

I'm sure angling water would be prepared to consider,

399

00:20:06.115 --> 00:20:08.215

for example, a section 106 contribution

400

00:20:08.405 --> 00:20:11.575

that could actively offer something rather than just

401

00:20:11.685 --> 00:20:12.815

measuring a baseline,

402

00:20:12.815 --> 00:20:15.695

which in five years time when new housing development comes

403

00:20:15.695 --> 00:20:17.055

online becomes worthless.

404

00:20:17.155 --> 00:20:20.055

So I don't think the solution is baseline studies.

405

00:20:20.175 --> 00:20:23.455

I think the solution is concrete mitigation measures linked

406

00:20:23.555 --> 00:20:25.215

to our project.

407

00:20:26.095 --> 00:20:28.255

I, I know the applicant's stance at the meeting.

408

00:20:28.365 --> 00:20:29.655

Will, will we have an update from

409

00:20:29.655 --> 00:20:30.775

the meeting at deadline four?

410

00:20:30.775 --> 00:20:32.335

Is, is it, will it be after then or not?

411

00:20:32.675 --> 00:20:35.055

Uh, madam, can you remind me the date of deadline?

412

00:20:35.205 --> 00:20:37.775

Four 20? No, we won't, we won't have one by the 22nd,

413

00:20:37.875 --> 00:20:40.415

but we'll have shortly, shortly after that. Okay.

414

00:20:40.525 --> 00:20:41.975

It's obviously as soon as possible

415

00:20:41.975 --> 00:20:42.815

With that. Thank you.

416

00:20:43.415 --> 00:20:44.935

I I will come back to you. Thank you.

417

00:20:55.155 --> 00:20:57.545

South Cambridge District Council's local plan policy,

418

00:20:57.965 --> 00:20:59.145

NH six relates

419

00:20:59.165 --> 00:21:01.865

to green infrastructure protection and enhancement.

420

00:21:03.005 --> 00:21:04.745

Uh, the weaken fend vision area

421

00:21:05.445 --> 00:21:07.025

is identified within the local plan

422

00:21:07.085 --> 00:21:09.625

as a targeted area within the green structure strategy

423

00:21:09.625 --> 00:21:11.345

network policy.

424

00:21:11.965 --> 00:21:14.345

NH six requires all new developments

425

00:21:14.365 --> 00:21:16.025

to contribute towards the enhancement

426

00:21:16.025 --> 00:21:18.105

of the green infrastructure net

427

00:21:18.105 --> 00:21:19.785

for network within the district.

428

00:21:20.595 --> 00:21:23.025

These contributions should include the establishment

429

00:21:23.025 --> 00:21:25.345

enhancement and ongoing management costs.

430

00:21:26.045 --> 00:21:27.545

Please can the applicant set out

431

00:21:27.545 --> 00:21:30.025

how the proposed development conforms with all elements

432

00:21:30.145 --> 00:21:32.945

of this policy, given that the landscape, ecological

433

00:21:33.045 --> 00:21:36.225

and recreational management plan focuses purely on the

434

00:21:36.385 --> 00:21:38.065

proposed wastewater treatment plant area?

435

00:21:40.275 --> 00:21:43.255

Th Thank you madam. Um, a Andrew Pran for the applicant,

436

00:21:43.715 --> 00:21:47.055

um, uh, I would refer

437

00:21:48.115 --> 00:21:51.815

the panel to, um, two documents, the design

438

00:21:51.815 --> 00:21:54.735

and access statement, um, as 1 68

439

00:21:55.155 --> 00:21:58.255

and the Learn A 0 6 7, um,

440

00:21:59.765 --> 00:22:02.375

chapter five of the design

441

00:22:02.375 --> 00:22:04.055

and access statement discusses the context

442

00:22:04.195 --> 00:22:08.335

and the relationship with, um, three

443

00:22:08.915 --> 00:22:12.935

of the, uh, green infrastructure initiatives in the area.

444

00:22:13.115 --> 00:22:14.695

The Cambridge Nature Networks,

445
00:22:14.755 --> 00:22:17.335
the greater Cambridge Green infrastructure mapping

446
00:22:17.755 --> 00:22:19.455
and the WIC and fend vision.

447
00:22:20.275 --> 00:22:24.375
Um, page 26 of the L um,

448
00:22:25.505 --> 00:22:29.935
shows how the biodiversity ecological,

449
00:22:30.595 --> 00:22:33.055
uh, provisions of the L integrate

450
00:22:33.525 --> 00:22:36.615
with the Cambridge Nature Network, providing new

451
00:22:36.615 --> 00:22:38.135
and enhanced green corridors.

452
00:22:38.435 --> 00:22:41.695
Uh, if, if you like, um, ecological stepping stones, um,

453
00:22:41.985 --> 00:22:46.535
delivering, uh, those, um, the

454
00:22:47.355 --> 00:22:49.095
map that also shows the alignment

455
00:22:49.205 --> 00:22:51.095
with the green infrastructure mapping.

456
00:22:51.555 --> 00:22:53.415
Um, that's part of the local plan.

457
00:22:53.915 --> 00:22:54.935
Uh, in terms of the WIC

458
00:22:54.935 --> 00:22:59.415

and FE vision, again, um, uh, that relationship with

459

00:22:59.415 --> 00:23:02.055

that is discussed in the design and access statement.

460

00:23:02.895 --> 00:23:05.815

I think the only thing I'd add to that is that the

461

00:23:06.335 --> 00:23:10.335

southern part of the Wiccan Fen vision area is not fenland.

462

00:23:10.755 --> 00:23:14.215

It is, um, uh, calcar grassland

463

00:23:14.595 --> 00:23:19.175

and the, um, grassland provisions of the LRP are aligned

464

00:23:19.235 --> 00:23:22.615

to enhance that moving away from a very intensive

465

00:23:23.455 --> 00:23:26.455

agricultural, uh, environment, low in biodiversity

466

00:23:26.955 --> 00:23:30.255

to a calcar grassland environment that is aligned

467

00:23:30.255 --> 00:23:31.895

with the southern half of the wick and FE vision.

468

00:23:32.205 --> 00:23:33.935

It's that higher ground, um,

469

00:23:34.045 --> 00:23:36.535

that is more freely draining compared to the Finland ground.

470

00:23:36.595 --> 00:23:40.215

So, uh, that the, the key with alignment with the wick

471

00:23:40.215 --> 00:23:42.895

and FE vision is, um, is the grassland

472

00:23:42.895 --> 00:23:45.325

and the higher area rather than the broad F

473

00:23:45.325 --> 00:23:46.805

environment to the north.

474

00:23:51.315 --> 00:23:53.135

Um, could I just a quick reminder to,

475

00:23:53.195 --> 00:23:54.695

can people introduce themselves

476

00:23:54.755 --> 00:23:57.335

before speaking, if that's okay, um, just to state your name

477

00:23:57.515 --> 00:23:59.335

and who you represent, uh, just

478

00:23:59.335 --> 00:24:00.815

for the purposes of the recording, if that's okay.

479

00:24:00.815 --> 00:24:05.375

Thank you. Um, the policy references, um,

480

00:24:06.515 --> 00:24:09.495

uh, contributions towards ongoing mainten management costs.

481

00:24:09.845 --> 00:24:13.855

Does the proposal include anything along those lines?

482

00:24:14.155 --> 00:24:15.175

Tha Thank you madam ma'am.

483

00:24:15.175 --> 00:24:17.095

Andrew Craft for the applicant, um, yes.

484

00:24:17.095 --> 00:24:19.575

So Lim four of NH six talks about required

485

00:24:19.575 --> 00:24:20.775

to contribute towards enhancement

486

00:24:20.775 --> 00:24:21.935

to the green infrastructure network.

487

00:24:22.515 --> 00:24:25.735

Um, those contributions are secured through the LRP

488

00:24:25.755 --> 00:24:27.055

for the period of 30 years.

489

00:24:27.475 --> 00:24:31.575

Um, the, those are the stepping stones that deliver, uh,

490

00:24:32.195 --> 00:24:33.775

the integration with the network

491

00:24:34.355 --> 00:24:37.255

and also the provisions of the WIC

492

00:24:37.255 --> 00:24:39.415

and FE vision on, uh, grassland.

493

00:24:41.395 --> 00:24:43.935

Um, does South Cambridge District Council consider

494

00:24:43.935 --> 00:24:46.615

that the proposal meets with the requirements of, um,

495

00:24:46.835 --> 00:24:49.655

policy NH six in regards to the wicking FE vision area?

496

00:24:50.585 --> 00:24:53.135

Madam, um, we have somebody online who can deal with this.

497

00:24:53.135 --> 00:24:55.695

Mr. Weaver, um, sorry, Selena Cahoon for the,

498

00:24:55.755 --> 00:24:56.815

um, district council.

499

00:25:02.025 --> 00:25:03.935

Hello, uh, Dr. D Weaver talking for, uh,

500

00:25:03.965 --> 00:25:05.375

greater Cambridge share planning.

501

00:25:05.555 --> 00:25:07.095

Um, this actually wasn't a subject

502

00:25:07.095 --> 00:25:08.575

that was brought up by myself.

503

00:25:08.695 --> 00:25:10.975

I have a feeling this was a subject probably brought up

504

00:25:11.035 --> 00:25:13.415

by natural, um, naturally England or the National Trust.

505

00:25:13.915 --> 00:25:16.175

Um, so it's not a a subject that, uh, I want to speak on,

506

00:25:16.255 --> 00:25:17.215

although I do agree with what is

507

00:25:17.215 --> 00:25:18.295

being said by those parties.

508

00:25:20.165 --> 00:25:22.195

Sorry, the, it's, you are a little quiet.

509

00:25:22.215 --> 00:25:23.635

Did you say that you agreed with

510

00:25:23.635 --> 00:25:24.715

what the applicant was stating?

511

00:25:25.135 --> 00:25:26.155

No, I said I agree with

512

00:25:26.155 --> 00:25:28.035

what the other interested parties had said.

513

00:25:28.035 --> 00:25:29.315

This is not a, an issue

514

00:25:29.315 --> 00:25:31.715

that I specifically brought up in terms of ecology.

515

00:25:32.375 --> 00:25:34.075

Um, I think it was an issue brought

516

00:25:34.215 --> 00:25:36.395

by the National Trust or Natural England.

517

00:25:37.695 --> 00:25:38.675

So, sorry, what, what is

518

00:25:38.675 --> 00:25:39.795

your, what is your stance on the matter?

519

00:25:39.795 --> 00:25:41.675

Do you consider that the development meets with the,

520

00:25:41.895 --> 00:25:43.075

the local plan requirements?

521

00:25:44.285 --> 00:25:46.785

Um, um, in a way, yes,

522

00:25:46.785 --> 00:25:49.385

because of the, whether the infrastructure has been built

523

00:25:49.385 --> 00:25:52.425

within the, the, the L area,

524

00:25:52.435 --> 00:25:55.385

there are contributions in terms of footways pathway,

525

00:25:55.825 --> 00:25:58.385

circular paths for that infrastructure to be enhanced.

526

00:25:58.805 --> 00:26:01.705

Um, whether or not that contributes to the,

527

00:26:01.725 --> 00:26:04.185

the wider WIC event area, which this does fall into,

528

00:26:04.215 --> 00:26:07.585

just about, I would say is a matter I think, further

529

00:26:07.725 --> 00:26:08.745

for Wildlife Trust

530

00:26:08.805 --> 00:26:11.705

and also, um, national trust to, to decide

531

00:26:15.515 --> 00:26:17.495

Ma madam, um, Andrew p prior for the applicant, if,

532

00:26:17.495 --> 00:26:18.775

if it's of assistance to you.

533

00:26:18.875 --> 00:26:21.255

Um, the W and fend vision, um,

534

00:26:21.835 --> 00:26:24.255

is a national trust initiative, not, not a,

535

00:26:24.275 --> 00:26:25.695

not a formal council policy.

536

00:26:25.795 --> 00:26:28.095

So I, I can understand why the, um, the,

537

00:26:28.155 --> 00:26:29.775

the relevant officer there is reluctant

538

00:26:29.775 --> 00:26:31.095

to say whether it accords with it.

539

00:26:31.395 --> 00:26:34.695

Um, the, the site does fall within

540

00:26:35.475 --> 00:26:36.855

the Wickham fund vision footprint.

541

00:26:37.355 --> 00:26:38.655

Um, and,

542

00:26:38.795 --> 00:26:42.255

and I think discussions with the National Trust to, to date

543

00:26:42.835 --> 00:26:44.485

confirm that it is broadly in

544

00:26:44.485 --> 00:26:45.605

accordance with, with that vision.

545

00:26:49.895 --> 00:26:50.365

Thank you.

546

00:26:57.975 --> 00:27:00.905

Cambridge County council requests funding towards an

547

00:27:01.105 --> 00:27:03.265

advisory group, which is secured by the landscape ecological

548

00:27:03.285 --> 00:27:04.825

and recreational management plan in order

549

00:27:04.825 --> 00:27:07.865

to ensure effective participation by key stakeholders.

550

00:27:08.485 --> 00:27:10.145

Can the council applicant confirm to

551

00:27:10.145 --> 00:27:11.545

what extent this is being discussed

552

00:27:11.545 --> 00:27:13.105

and how the funding would be secured?

553

00:27:17.395 --> 00:27:21.285

Um, uh, again, I, sorry, this is, forgive me.

554

00:27:21.345 --> 00:27:22.805

I'm putting different hats on.

555

00:27:22.985 --> 00:27:26.205

So this is the county council point you making, I think

556

00:27:26.205 --> 00:27:29.005

that we have, yes, Ms.

557

00:27:29.245 --> 00:27:30.245

Alad can deal with this

558

00:27:35.405 --> 00:27:36.845

Separate ED chemistry counter council.

559

00:27:36.915 --> 00:27:39.405

Yeah, we've had no correspondence with the applicant

560

00:27:39.405 --> 00:27:43.125

regarding how the funding could be, um, implemented.

561

00:27:43.185 --> 00:27:46.605

We would suggest through our e section 106 agreements,

562

00:27:47.435 --> 00:27:49.015

and it's similar to what we have done

563

00:27:49.015 --> 00:27:52.575

with other NIP schemes, um, in other parts of the,

564

00:27:53.035 --> 00:27:54.895

uh, uh, the county

565

00:27:57.645 --> 00:27:58.645

And the applicant. Please,

566

00:27:58.645 --> 00:27:59.385

567

00:27:59.975 --> 00:28:00.975

Adam? Yes, thank you,

568

00:28:00.975 --> 00:28:02.665

Andrew. Prior for the applicant, um,

569

00:28:03.425 --> 00:28:05.625

I think this will be the subject of the discussions in,

570

00:28:05.625 --> 00:28:07.185

in the next couple of weeks to which the

571

00:28:07.185 --> 00:28:08.265

council have been invited.

572

00:28:08.725 --> 00:28:12.025

Um, I think we contend that these are issues that are

573

00:28:12.025 --> 00:28:16.185

outside the scope of our project application, um, and

574

00:28:16.495 --> 00:28:21.385

therefore would be, uh, not secured through,

575

00:28:21.385 --> 00:28:23.505

through the, through the process we're talking about today.

576

00:28:23.765 --> 00:28:26.025

Um, as discussed earlier, those these are wider

577

00:28:26.665 --> 00:28:28.585

regional issues not associated with our project.

578

00:28:29.125 --> 00:28:32.945

Um, if those groups provide a structure,

579

00:28:33.145 --> 00:28:35.905

a meaningful structure that we can contribute towards,

580

00:28:36.005 --> 00:28:38.185

I'm sure we would, we we would be able to,

581

00:28:38.605 --> 00:28:41.065

but this isn't something that we can just throw a little bit

582

00:28:41.065 --> 00:28:42.145

of money at and it solves itself,

583

00:28:42.145 --> 00:28:44.545

it would need the involvement of

584

00:28:45.705 --> 00:28:46.945

strategic landowners in the area,

585

00:28:46.945 --> 00:28:50.745

particularly those presenting, um, proposals, uh,

586

00:28:50.885 --> 00:28:52.265

for, for housing.

587

00:28:53.285 --> 00:28:55.705

So you're not suggesting that this will be secured

588

00:28:55.705 --> 00:28:57.385

through the landscape ecological?

589

00:28:58.405 --> 00:28:59.465

No, indeed not, madam.

590

00:28:59.465 --> 00:29:03.585

The, the, the LRP is, uh, site specific, uh,

591

00:29:03.845 --> 00:29:07.305

and the advisory group under the LRP is about managing

592

00:29:07.305 --> 00:29:09.625

and monitoring the delivery of the BNG

593

00:29:09.625 --> 00:29:12.305

and the recreation under the lrp, not wider, uh,

594

00:29:12.905 --> 00:29:15.105

regional pressures, but angling water

595

00:29:15.205 --> 00:29:17.665

as a good corporate neighbor will engage

596

00:29:17.665 --> 00:29:20.305

with those processes with, with the relevant stakeholders,

597

00:29:20.375 --> 00:29:23.145

including the kyan trustees, including the county council

598

00:29:23.685 --> 00:29:25.505

to, um, to be a good neighbor

599

00:29:25.525 --> 00:29:28.105

and to manage those pressures in the appropriate way,

600

00:29:28.645 --> 00:29:32.465

noting the very, very small almost de minimis, uh,

601

00:29:32.645 --> 00:29:36.185

issues here arising from our project, which is not going

602

00:29:36.185 --> 00:29:37.625

to increase recreational pressure.

603

00:29:38.895 --> 00:29:43.875

Okay. So moving

604

00:29:43.975 --> 00:29:47.075

on, um, scope and species mitigation.

605

00:29:47.815 --> 00:29:51.115

Can Cambridge County Council confirm why they consider

606

00:29:51.115 --> 00:29:52.355

that it's necessary

607

00:29:52.425 --> 00:29:55.355

that species mitigation should be covered within the

608

00:29:55.355 --> 00:29:57.715

landscape, ecological and recreational management plan,

609

00:29:57.885 --> 00:29:59.355

given that the applicant considers

610

00:29:59.355 --> 00:30:01.875

that species mitigation is adequately secured

611

00:30:01.875 --> 00:30:03.475

through requirements eight, nine,

612

00:30:03.575 --> 00:30:05.155

and 10 of the draft DC0,

613

00:30:05.695 --> 00:30:07.995

the Natural England conservation licenses

614

00:30:08.015 --> 00:30:09.995

and the outfall management and monitoring plan?

615

00:30:32.315 --> 00:30:34.775

Ms. Am, I dunno if you heard my last

616

00:30:35.015 --> 00:30:36.095

question. Are you able to Oh,

617

00:30:36.245 --> 00:30:37.245

Apologies. Sorry.

618

00:30:37.245 --> 00:30:37.895

Uh, yeah,

619

00:30:37.895 --> 00:30:40.375

Deborah on my chemistry counter council, yes.

620

00:30:40.375 --> 00:30:43.655

So the reason we would like it all included within the LER

621

00:30:44.165 --> 00:30:47.375

MP's, uh, at the moment, everything seems

622

00:30:47.375 --> 00:30:49.735

to be disjointed, uh, for the scheme.

623

00:30:50.115 --> 00:30:53.535

And we would expect a, uh, the L-E-R-M-P

624

00:30:53.555 --> 00:30:56.535

to InCorp us all aspects of biodiversity so

625

00:30:56.535 --> 00:30:58.575

that nothing's missed, there's no gaps

626

00:30:58.755 --> 00:31:01.175

and there's no, um, constraints

627

00:31:01.555 --> 00:31:04.855

or errors happening across the documents.

628

00:31:05.315 --> 00:31:09.335

So by, at the moment, the protective species is separate,

629

00:31:09.605 --> 00:31:12.615

whereas, for example, some of those species, uh,

630

00:31:12.675 --> 00:31:17.135

so badges are found within the, um, L-E-R-M-P area,

631

00:31:17.955 --> 00:31:22.015

uh, and then therefore we would expect there to be synergies

632

00:31:22.015 --> 00:31:24.855

between those different documents so that the LER

633

00:31:24.855 --> 00:31:27.535

and P doesn't go off in its own tangent in terms

634

00:31:27.535 --> 00:31:30.575

of delivering, uh, the habitats that are required,

635

00:31:30.635 --> 00:31:34.615

but it doesn't consider the, uh, species element of it.

636

00:31:35.435 --> 00:31:37.495

And we've seen that across a lot of the documents.

637

00:31:37.595 --> 00:31:41.855

So, um, the, the various documents, uh,

638

00:31:42.205 --> 00:31:44.895

have one small aspect of the scheme

639

00:31:45.275 --> 00:31:47.695

and it doesn't really provide a holistic approach.

640

00:31:48.075 --> 00:31:52.415

So, for example, reptile mitigation strategy is, uh, is left

641

00:31:52.475 --> 00:31:54.535

to the code of construction practice.

642

00:31:54.835 --> 00:31:57.895

You've got the other protected species with Natural England,

643

00:31:58.395 --> 00:32:00.855

and then you've got the separation of the outfall areas,

644

00:32:01.475 --> 00:32:03.535

the areas which are along the pipeline

645

00:32:03.995 --> 00:32:07.655

or elsewhere within the code of construction practices.

646

00:32:07.795 --> 00:32:09.215

So it's really just disjointed.

647

00:32:09.635 --> 00:32:12.895

So it'd be really beneficial to have a overarching strategy

648

00:32:13.875 --> 00:32:15.895

or, and ideally incorporation

649

00:32:15.895 --> 00:32:17.615

of everything within this one document.

650

00:32:17.795 --> 00:32:20.335

So everything, um, uh,

651

00:32:20.335 --> 00:32:23.135

everybody can see everything in one place instead of digging

652

00:32:23.135 --> 00:32:24.575

around the different documents.

653

00:32:26.585 --> 00:32:29.015

Thank you. I, I can see it's preferable to the council,

654

00:32:29.675 --> 00:32:31.855

um, that all species mitigation is in

655

00:32:31.975 --> 00:32:33.095

with within one document.

656

00:32:33.615 --> 00:32:36.975

I, I suppose, is there anything particularly in terms of,

657

00:32:37.195 --> 00:32:40.525

um, species mitigation that you consider isn't secured

658

00:32:40.675 --> 00:32:44.485

through the draft development consent order, the licenses

659

00:32:44.485 --> 00:32:46.925

or management plans in terms?

660

00:32:46.985 --> 00:32:49.125

So is there anything that's been missed at present?

661

00:32:51.365 --> 00:32:53.485

I don't think there's not specific species,

662

00:32:53.965 --> 00:32:55.925

a protected species which have been missed.

663

00:32:56.315 --> 00:32:58.645

It's more the management for them

664

00:32:58.905 --> 00:33:01.045

or making sure that everything, uh,

665

00:33:01.245 --> 00:33:04.165

ties up together really across the documentation.

666

00:33:07.925 --> 00:33:11.585

So for example, some of the species work would,

667

00:33:11.685 --> 00:33:13.905

if it's licensed by Natural England, it would,

668

00:33:13.905 --> 00:33:15.745

they would as part of the license.

669

00:33:15.755 --> 00:33:17.585

There might be a management requirement

670

00:33:17.585 --> 00:33:19.785

for a few years, uh, or monitoring.

671

00:33:20.085 --> 00:33:21.785

But then what happens beyond that period

672

00:33:22.695 --> 00:33:24.505

that we would expect that all to be

673

00:33:25.345 --> 00:33:28.905

incorporated into the wider management for the, uh, kind

674

00:33:28.905 --> 00:33:31.345

of 30 year term or the operational period.

675

00:33:34.345 --> 00:33:39.315

Thank you. So yeah,

676

00:33:39.315 --> 00:33:40.675

going, going back to the applicant,

677

00:33:40.875 --> 00:33:43.435

I think obviously the council's outlined their concerns,

678

00:33:44.095 --> 00:33:48.645

and my question on top of that is, is is there likely

679

00:33:48.645 --> 00:33:51.125

to be any conflicts between the different elements

680

00:33:51.125 --> 00:33:52.925

that secure the protected species method?

681

00:33:53.145 --> 00:33:54.885

Uh, spread species methodologies.

682

00:33:56.215 --> 00:33:58.925

Thank you, madam. Um, Andrew, prior for the applicant, um,

683

00:33:59.245 --> 00:34:01.005

I, I, with respect, I don't think

684

00:34:01.005 --> 00:34:03.165

that position is particularly coherent.

685

00:34:03.195 --> 00:34:07.525

It's just been, um, proposed that the species

686

00:34:08.175 --> 00:34:11.125

management and licensing is a completely separate regime

687

00:34:11.515 --> 00:34:15.525

from the landscape environment Ecology management.

688

00:34:15.905 --> 00:34:19.525

Um, the, the impacts in the code of construction practice

689

00:34:19.525 --> 00:34:21.685

and the licenses and the shadow licenses

690

00:34:21.685 --> 00:34:23.205

that have been agreed with, uh,

691

00:34:23.205 --> 00:34:25.245

natural England are about construction.

692

00:34:25.865 --> 00:34:29.005

Um, the learn is about 30 year management

693

00:34:29.005 --> 00:34:32.005

of primarily habitat species have always been treated

694

00:34:32.005 --> 00:34:33.205

differently from habitat.

695

00:34:33.205 --> 00:34:35.805

And you see that under the, under the various, um,

696

00:34:36.295 --> 00:34:38.405

frameworks including the habitats directive and,

697

00:34:38.405 --> 00:34:39.965

and the Wildlife and Countryside Act.

698

00:34:40.345 --> 00:34:43.165

So, um, there is a very clear division here

699

00:34:43.425 --> 00:34:45.005

and it's deliberate, um,

700

00:34:45.335 --> 00:34:48.165

where species provision is essential to deliver to,

701

00:34:48.165 --> 00:34:49.405

to the delivery of the lrp.

702

00:34:49.825 --> 00:34:52.685

Uh, for example, long-term reptile habitat

703

00:34:52.825 --> 00:34:56.125

or, um, turtle dove habitat that is included in the lrp.

704

00:34:56.585 --> 00:34:58.605

Um, it doesn't make any sense

705

00:34:58.665 --> 00:35:01.765

to roll construction impacts into the lrp.

706

00:35:02.145 --> 00:35:04.845

So licensing and the code of construction practice will

707

00:35:04.865 --> 00:35:07.485

and have to be separate from the lrp.

708

00:35:12.595 --> 00:35:14.695

Can I have a response from the council on that, please?

709

00:35:18.195 --> 00:35:20.205

Deborah Ahmad, chemistry County Council?

710

00:35:20.425 --> 00:35:23.605

Yes, we accept that the code of construction practice will,

711

00:35:23.945 --> 00:35:26.645

and the, um, CMP will need

712

00:35:26.645 --> 00:35:28.285

to cover the construction aspects,

713

00:35:28.745 --> 00:35:31.965

but it is really the ongoing mitigation

714

00:35:32.025 --> 00:35:33.765

or monitoring of that.

715

00:35:33.765 --> 00:35:37.685

Beyond the, um, construction periods that we're looking

716

00:35:37.685 --> 00:35:41.975

for the Elliot RMP to cover, uh, it's mentioned

717

00:35:41.975 --> 00:35:43.655

that reptiles are recovered in it.

718

00:35:43.655 --> 00:35:45.215

That's not something that I've picked up

719

00:35:45.235 --> 00:35:46.455

so far in that document.

720

00:35:47.275 --> 00:35:50.215

Um, we can have a look at that and double check

721

00:35:50.755 --> 00:35:52.095

and provide a written response,

722

00:35:52.755 --> 00:35:56.695

but at the moment it's quite bare bones in the L-E-R-M-P,

723

00:35:57.475 --> 00:35:59.295

but if it's going to cover protective species

724

00:35:59.355 --> 00:36:03.135

beyond the construction phase, then that would, um,

725

00:36:03.845 --> 00:36:05.135

that we would welcome that.

726

00:36:05.635 --> 00:36:09.375

The question would be for, for example, reptile mitigation,

727

00:36:09.645 --> 00:36:12.815

some of the reptile impacts are beyond outside the scope

728

00:36:12.815 --> 00:36:15.375

of the RE L-E-R-M-P.

729

00:36:15.915 --> 00:36:18.855

So if it's associated with the any pipeline work

730

00:36:18.855 --> 00:36:22.015

and such, that wouldn't be covered within that document.

731

00:36:27.645 --> 00:36:31.595

Madam Andrew PR applicant, um, I, I think, uh,

732

00:36:32.385 --> 00:36:33.915

firstly the learn has to be agreed

733

00:36:33.915 --> 00:36:37.355

with our relevant stakeholders, including Natural England,

734

00:36:37.375 --> 00:36:40.155

so that any long-term species issued will be covered

735

00:36:40.155 --> 00:36:41.475

by their approval of the lrp.

736

00:36:41.915 --> 00:36:43.635

I, I disagree with the characterization

737

00:36:43.635 --> 00:36:46.755

that the LRP doesn't cover reptile mitigation,

738

00:36:46.755 --> 00:36:49.635

that the specific provision in there for vernacular and,

739

00:36:49.655 --> 00:36:50.875

and other, other issues.

740

00:36:51.335 --> 00:36:56.035

Um, I, I don't, the rest of that L area

741

00:36:56.625 --> 00:37:01.075

will not be, um, affected by any development

742

00:37:01.145 --> 00:37:02.795

that would cause an impact on species.

743

00:37:03.225 --> 00:37:05.715

This, this would just become natural habitat

744

00:37:05.715 --> 00:37:07.555

with within which those species live, and

745

00:37:07.555 --> 00:37:09.875

therefore the species protections under the Wildlife

746

00:37:09.875 --> 00:37:10.875

and Countryside Act would apply.

747

00:37:10.875 --> 00:37:13.835

There's no management relevant to those species other than

748

00:37:13.835 --> 00:37:15.955

that provided specifically through the LRP

749

00:37:15.955 --> 00:37:17.195

and agreed with Natural England.

750

00:37:17.215 --> 00:37:20.155

So again, I think the division is very, very clear here.

751

00:37:20.465 --> 00:37:23.315

Species protection during construction through the code

752

00:37:23.315 --> 00:37:24.755

of construction practice, the SEM

753

00:37:25.015 --> 00:37:28.755

and the licenses ongoing habitat management, which includes

754

00:37:29.355 --> 00:37:32.115

specific identified species provision through the LRP

755

00:37:32.295 --> 00:37:33.715

as agreed with Natural England.

756

00:37:35.965 --> 00:37:38.495

Okay. Is there any sort of upcoming meetings

757

00:37:38.495 --> 00:37:39.975

that the applicant's intending on having

758

00:37:39.975 --> 00:37:41.055

with the council in order

759

00:37:41.115 --> 00:37:42.615

to thrash this out a little bit more?

760

00:37:43.105 --> 00:37:44.735

Madam, we'd be very pleased to do that.

761

00:37:44.955 --> 00:37:48.335

Um, and if there are specific species issues that the, uh,

762

00:37:48.335 --> 00:37:51.575

council feel we should address, um, we would be very willing

763

00:37:51.575 --> 00:37:53.095

to include those within the lab.

764

00:37:53.565 --> 00:37:54.565

Okay.

765

00:37:56.645 --> 00:38:01.255

Thank you. Uh,

766

00:38:01.255 --> 00:38:05.095

moving on to securing, uh, mitigation through the code

767

00:38:05.095 --> 00:38:06.895

of construction practice parts A

768

00:38:06.895 --> 00:38:10.415

and B with reference rep 3 26 and rep 3 28

769

00:38:10.835 --> 00:38:13.455

and the construction environmental management plan reference

770

00:38:13.455 --> 00:38:14.735

as 57.

771

00:38:15.195 --> 00:38:19.575

Yes, madam, this will be, um, Claire Squires, uh,

772

00:38:19.725 --> 00:38:22.295

will attempt to deal with these questions.

773

00:38:22.715 --> 00:38:26.855

If, if it gets beyond her uh, knowledge, then she will say

774

00:38:26.855 --> 00:38:30.935

so just while moving to a new topic, we seem to be sitting

775

00:38:31.065 --> 00:38:33.415

underneath a blast of cold air here.

776

00:38:33.535 --> 00:38:35.405

I dunno whether others are in the same boat.

777

00:38:36.185 --> 00:38:38.205

Um, it's very patchy in this room.

778

00:38:38.745 --> 00:38:39.805

If there was anything

779

00:38:39.955 --> 00:38:42.885

that could be done without turning it into a great blast

780

00:38:42.905 --> 00:38:44.965

of hot air, which would be even worse,

781

00:38:45.675 --> 00:38:47.805

that would be marvelous noted.

782

00:38:47.805 --> 00:38:49.205

Thank You. Switching it off would be nice.

783

00:38:49.545 --> 00:38:52.925

I'm sort of looking in the direction of the, thank you.

784

00:38:52.945 --> 00:38:53.945

Thanks.

785

00:38:54.625 --> 00:38:56.565

Uh, so firstly, habitat reinstatement

786

00:38:56.585 --> 00:38:57.845

and species mitigation.

787

00:38:58.745 --> 00:39:02.965

The applicant's response to ex Q1 5.24

788

00:39:04.285 --> 00:39:06.485

identifies a number of habitats which could be affected

789

00:39:06.585 --> 00:39:08.045

as a result of the proposed development,

790

00:39:08.425 --> 00:39:11.085

but would be reinstated following completion of the works.

791

00:39:11.715 --> 00:39:14.285

However, the code of construction practice parts A

792

00:39:14.345 --> 00:39:16.765

and B don't specifically refer to all

793

00:39:16.765 --> 00:39:18.885

of the habitats which are proposed to be replaced.

794

00:39:19.745 --> 00:39:22.365

For example, within the water beach pipeline

795

00:39:22.365 --> 00:39:24.165

and tunnel area, Woodland

796

00:39:24.165 --> 00:39:26.485

and grassland would be affected by the proposed works,

797

00:39:27.105 --> 00:39:28.525

but there's no reference to woodland

798

00:39:28.525 --> 00:39:31.085

or grassland within the code of construction practice.

799

00:39:31.895 --> 00:39:33.805

Could the applicant clarify firstly,

800

00:39:34.015 --> 00:39:36.085

where would Woodland be affected in this area

801

00:39:36.865 --> 00:39:39.365

and does the code of construction practice need

802

00:39:39.365 --> 00:39:42.485

to be updated to be more specific in regards to these items?

803

00:39:47.975 --> 00:39:50.695

Quire for the applicant, I'm not aware of any, um,

804

00:39:51.055 --> 00:39:53.695

woodland that is affected by the construction

805

00:39:53.695 --> 00:39:54.695

of the pipeline.

806

00:39:54.755 --> 00:39:56.935

So we would have to take that one away and, and check

807

00:39:57.075 --> 00:40:01.695

and confirm In writing, um, the principles of the code

808

00:40:01.695 --> 00:40:05.455

of construction practice are to reinstate affected habitats

809

00:40:05.455 --> 00:40:06.455

that are affected temporarily.

810

00:40:06.455 --> 00:40:08.135

So it would include all habitats.

811

00:40:08.355 --> 00:40:11.495

So it might just be a case of updating the list of,

812

00:40:11.515 --> 00:40:13.375

of the habitat types within that document.

813

00:40:14.295 --> 00:40:17.695

I think specifically referring back to ex Q1 5.24,

814

00:40:18.395 --> 00:40:20.815

and there's a variety of different habitats listed there,

815

00:40:20.815 --> 00:40:22.015

and just ensuring that the code

816

00:40:22.015 --> 00:40:24.095

of construction practice adequately reflects

817

00:40:25.135 --> 00:40:27.335

habitats which are potentially affected

818

00:40:27.355 --> 00:40:30.455

and ensuring that that is covered would be helpful.

819

00:40:37.105 --> 00:40:40.725

But we'll respond at, um, the next deadline.

820

00:40:50.595 --> 00:40:55.255

In the applicant's response to a ex Q1 5.53, they refer

821

00:40:55.255 --> 00:40:58.055

to paragraph 4.2 0.5, one

822

00:40:58.075 --> 00:41:01.935

of ES Chapter eight on biodiversity as 26,

823

00:41:02.025 --> 00:41:04.735

which has now been superseded by rep 2 0 0 7.

824

00:41:05.635 --> 00:41:08.575

And that other habitats will be reinstated on a

825

00:41:08.575 --> 00:41:10.455

site-specific basis informed

826

00:41:10.595 --> 00:41:12.655

by detailed pre-construction surveys

827

00:41:12.655 --> 00:41:14.575

that will be set out within the CMP.

828

00:41:15.325 --> 00:41:18.255

However, the CMP secures protected species surveys,

829

00:41:18.255 --> 00:41:21.175

but doesn't secure surveys or reinstatement of habitats.

830

00:41:21.665 --> 00:41:24.775

Could the applicant confirm, would updated baseline surveys

831

00:41:24.775 --> 00:41:26.415

of these habitat areas be

832

00:41:26.615 --> 00:41:28.255

provided prior to commencement of any works

833

00:41:28.435 --> 00:41:31.255

to ensure adequate mitigation is provided post-construction?

834

00:41:31.715 --> 00:41:33.325

And if so, how is this secured

835

00:41:37.665 --> 00:41:38.665

Quis for the applicant?

836

00:41:38.695 --> 00:41:42.035

Um, the detailed construction environment management plans

837

00:41:42.225 --> 00:41:45.155

that the contractors would prepare prior to the start

838

00:41:45.155 --> 00:41:48.115

of construction are, would all have to align

839

00:41:48.115 --> 00:41:50.075

with the requirements of the code of construction practice

840

00:41:50.695 --> 00:41:53.795

and part a section 7.2, I believe does require

841

00:41:53.875 --> 00:41:56.315

that pre-construction surveys are completed.

842

00:41:56.975 --> 00:42:00.355

So those detailed construction environment management plans

843

00:42:00.645 --> 00:42:02.355

would, uh, include those details,

844

00:42:02.495 --> 00:42:04.515

and that is secured by requirements eight

845

00:42:04.515 --> 00:42:06.155

and nine of the draft DCA,

846

00:42:10.985 --> 00:42:12.725

And that is habitat surveys.

847

00:42:14.935 --> 00:42:17.185

They're pre-construction surveys for features

848

00:42:17.185 --> 00:42:19.745

of interest within the area to be affected by the work.

849

00:42:19.805 --> 00:42:21.585

So that would include, um, habitats

850

00:42:21.585 --> 00:42:22.785
as well as protected species.

851

00:42:25.605 --> 00:42:29.195
Thank you. Does the county council still consider

852

00:42:29.195 --> 00:42:31.355
that a more detailed construction environmental management

853

00:42:31.355 --> 00:42:34.395
plan is required prior to determination of the application?

854

00:42:37.935 --> 00:42:42.165
Again, county council, um, Ms. Ahmad to deal with

855

00:42:43.925 --> 00:42:47.455
Deborah Ahmad came she county council, uh, at the moment,

856

00:42:47.875 --> 00:42:51.055
the, um, at the, if the code

857

00:42:51.055 --> 00:42:53.535
of construction practice had a little bit more detail than

858

00:42:53.535 --> 00:42:56.855
we'd be satisfied, but at the moment there, we,

859

00:42:56.995 --> 00:42:59.295
we haven't been able to see within that

860

00:42:59.295 --> 00:43:01.935
where there's specific reference to habitat surveys.

861

00:43:02.435 --> 00:43:04.535
Uh, so it'd be helpful to have

862

00:43:04.535 --> 00:43:06.615
that highlighted by the applicant.

863

00:43:07.635 --> 00:43:09.295

Uh, that would be particularly useful

864

00:43:09.295 --> 00:43:11.495

because this document appears to be, um,

865

00:43:12.025 --> 00:43:15.935

delivering the biodiversity net gain aspect

866

00:43:16.035 --> 00:43:17.615

for habitat reinstatement.

867

00:43:17.755 --> 00:43:21.735

So we would really require that baseline to

868

00:43:22.535 --> 00:43:24.255

demonstrate the current conditions

869

00:43:24.275 --> 00:43:27.695

before the development development moves on

870

00:43:39.815 --> 00:43:40.815

ETH cook for the applicant.

871

00:43:41.435 --> 00:43:46.295

Uh, regarding biodiversity net gain, um, in the COCP, uh,

872

00:43:46.295 --> 00:43:49.215

requirement 25 now covers biodiversity net gain

873

00:43:49.215 --> 00:43:51.695

and that will include an updated biodiversity net gain

874

00:43:51.695 --> 00:43:53.335

report, which will be submitted

875

00:43:53.335 --> 00:43:55.215

and approved by the local planning authority

876

00:43:55.505 --> 00:43:56.735

prior to construction.

877

00:43:57.075 --> 00:43:59.015

And that will include, um,

878

00:44:00.355 --> 00:44:02.175

all areas within the scheme order limits.

879

00:44:02.395 --> 00:44:05.855

So that will also include the habitats within the COCP, um,

880

00:44:05.875 --> 00:44:07.095

and that will also include

881

00:44:07.195 --> 00:44:12.135

as referenced in requirement 25 2 C, uh, details

882

00:44:12.155 --> 00:44:13.255

of the habitat management

883

00:44:13.255 --> 00:44:16.575

and monitoring for the entire, uh, authorized development.

884

00:44:18.285 --> 00:44:20.775

It's perhaps worth a conversation between the applicant

885

00:44:20.995 --> 00:44:22.975

and the county council to sort of highlight

886

00:44:22.975 --> 00:44:26.295

and identify where the any gaps lie in terms of, um,

887

00:44:27.205 --> 00:44:28.735

Cambridge County Council understanding

888

00:44:28.735 --> 00:44:31.565

of the species mitigation and securing that. Yes.

889

00:44:31.565 --> 00:44:33.405

Well, where they feel that there are gaps where

890

00:44:33.405 --> 00:44:34.405

They feel there's gaps, yes.

891

00:44:39.215 --> 00:44:43.795

May for the applicant, could I just, uh, perhaps, uh,

892

00:44:44.045 --> 00:44:48.955

refer the examining authority to paragraph 7.2 0.8 of part A

893

00:44:49.215 --> 00:44:50.835

of the, the COCP,

894

00:44:51.365 --> 00:44:55.595

which contains a section on general mitigation measures, uh,

895

00:44:55.595 --> 00:44:58.395

under the subheading of Ecology and Nature Conservation.

896

00:44:59.335 --> 00:45:00.395

Um, the start of

897

00:45:00.395 --> 00:45:03.355

that paragraph sets out a general approach says

898

00:45:03.355 --> 00:45:05.875

pre-commencement surveys will be undertaken within each

899

00:45:05.875 --> 00:45:07.635

works area to confirm the presence

900

00:45:07.635 --> 00:45:09.155

or absence of protected species.

901

00:45:09.815 --> 00:45:12.195

The timing of these will be appropriate for the species

902

00:45:12.255 --> 00:45:13.835

to which they relate in the phase of works

903

00:45:13.865 --> 00:45:15.355

that will be undertaken.

904

00:45:15.495 --> 00:45:18.235

So I think there is, from the applicant's point of view,

905

00:45:18.315 --> 00:45:19.595

a clear process set out there

906

00:45:19.595 --> 00:45:23.435

and a clear commitment to undertaking, uh, those survey, uh,

907

00:45:23.435 --> 00:45:24.795

surveys which are articulated.

908

00:45:24.905 --> 00:45:27.075

Obviously we'll consider any further points, uh,

909

00:45:27.075 --> 00:45:30.235

from the council as to how that might be made clearer.

910

00:45:30.645 --> 00:45:31.075

Thank you.

911

00:45:36.595 --> 00:45:38.575

The applicant stated at deadline two

912

00:45:39.005 --> 00:45:41.135

that appropriate strategies relating

913

00:45:41.135 --> 00:45:43.335

to invasive non-native species would be

914

00:45:43.575 --> 00:45:46.055

provided should they not be able to be avoided.

915

00:45:46.995 --> 00:45:50.095

The applicant notes that this is secured in paragraph 7.2

916

00:45:50.335 --> 00:45:53.815

0.59 of the code of construction patches Part A,

917

00:45:54.275 --> 00:45:56.335

and that the detailed construction method statements

918

00:45:57.305 --> 00:45:59.415

would be prepared by the principal contractor

919

00:45:59.555 --> 00:46:01.975

to prevent the spread of invasive non-native species.

920

00:46:02.875 --> 00:46:05.295

Can South come to District Council confirm if this response

921

00:46:05.575 --> 00:46:07.415

adequately addresses their concerns in this regard.

922

00:46:11.385 --> 00:46:13.895

Madam, um, Mr. Weaver for,

923

00:46:13.915 --> 00:46:15.335

for South cams can deal with this,

924

00:46:16.205 --> 00:46:18.305

Uh, Danny Weaver greater English, uh, share planning.

925

00:46:18.365 --> 00:46:20.985

Um, I think that the problem that we had with, uh, sort

926

00:46:20.985 --> 00:46:23.585

of a reference towards invasive species was it was a very

927

00:46:23.585 --> 00:46:27.665

generic reference, which mostly was geared towards

928

00:46:27.695 --> 00:46:30.385

what more terrestrial species, um, uh,

929

00:46:30.745 --> 00:46:31.945

Japanese notwe, something like that.

930

00:46:32.095 --> 00:46:35.825

Whereas our specific concerns were with more sort of aquatic

931

00:46:35.825 --> 00:46:38.105
and marginal species such as Himalayan balsam,

932

00:46:38.275 --> 00:46:39.665
which require quite a specific

933

00:46:39.685 --> 00:46:41.905
and almost bespoke way of dealing with

934

00:46:41.905 --> 00:46:43.465
to prevent further spread of their range.

935

00:46:43.925 --> 00:46:46.425
So I think what we wanted was that there was the recognition

936

00:46:46.425 --> 00:46:49.985
that the generic wording that we felt was being used in

937

00:46:49.985 --> 00:46:52.945
that document wasn't quite, uh,

938

00:46:53.285 --> 00:46:55.865
didn't quite hit the mark in terms of the, the, the, the,

939

00:46:55.965 --> 00:46:57.185
the impacts that they were facing

940

00:46:57.205 --> 00:46:58.865
or the specific constraints that they were facing,

941

00:46:59.505 --> 00:47:00.905
specifically around the out foresight.

942

00:47:05.295 --> 00:47:09.785
Um, Madam Morak ates for the applicant's, um,

943

00:47:10.055 --> 00:47:13.665
it's very difficult to hear Mr. Weaver, um,

944

00:47:14.605 --> 00:47:16.225

but I think I've got the gist of that.

945

00:47:16.925 --> 00:47:21.865

Um, if, if you look carefully at 7 2 59, um,

946

00:47:22.135 --> 00:47:26.785

that there is reference to, um, best practice guidelines,

947

00:47:27.125 --> 00:47:30.045

uh, construction method statements being, um,

948

00:47:30.685 --> 00:47:34.765

prepared in line with those to ensure that new pathways

949

00:47:34.825 --> 00:47:39.765

for invasive or non-native species are not created such as,

950

00:47:39.985 --> 00:47:43.605

so not exclusive, such as between panel drain,

951

00:47:43.665 --> 00:47:45.085

the river cam and black ditch.

952

00:47:45.085 --> 00:47:48.245

So it's talking about theocratic environment.

953

00:47:48.865 --> 00:47:53.205

Um, if the council

954

00:47:53.465 --> 00:47:57.365

wished to put any further wording to us, then

955

00:47:57.505 --> 00:47:59.725

of course we'll consider that if it's reasonable.

956

00:48:01.145 --> 00:48:05.005

Uh, but, um, the, uh,

957

00:48:06.345 --> 00:48:10.085

our position is that that matters are comprehensively dealt

958

00:48:10.085 --> 00:48:11.765

with in 7 2 59.

959

00:48:12.425 --> 00:48:14.405

Um, but if you'll pardon the, pardon,

960

00:48:14.565 --> 00:48:16.565

I don't suppose we need Diana ditch over this.

961

00:48:18.645 --> 00:48:20.905

Um, Mr. Weaver, would it be possible for you to suggest,

962

00:48:20.905 --> 00:48:22.825

and would it, not necessarily now,

963

00:48:23.045 --> 00:48:26.265

but perhaps provide some wording to the applicant

964

00:48:26.365 --> 00:48:27.985

to strengthen that so it gives you the

965

00:48:28.225 --> 00:48:29.465

satisfaction and the comfort that you need?

966

00:48:30.245 --> 00:48:31.385

Yes. Yeah, that's absolutely fine.

967

00:48:31.505 --> 00:48:33.225

I mean, I think just to, to further the point

968

00:48:33.225 --> 00:48:36.505

that I was making, it's not, it's not between areas as in

969

00:48:36.505 --> 00:48:38.105

between the ditches that is concern.

970

00:48:38.135 --> 00:48:39.945

It's, it's releasing the seeds for

971

00:48:39.945 --> 00:48:42.545

that particular species into the river environment

972

00:48:42.545 --> 00:48:45.745

and they go down or upstream and infect other areas.

973

00:48:46.125 --> 00:48:49.065

So it's not particularly moving between sites, it's the fact

974

00:48:49.065 --> 00:48:51.185

that you, by your mere actions on that site,

975

00:48:51.185 --> 00:48:53.705

you are spreading the, the, the speeds further.

976

00:48:53.765 --> 00:48:55.425

So there's, like I said, it's quite a specific

977

00:48:55.485 --> 00:48:58.745

and, um, bespoke way of dealing with that species.

978

00:48:58.805 --> 00:49:01.465

So I think, yeah, I'm, I'm happy to try and provide wording

979

00:49:01.465 --> 00:49:03.705

and, and, and through meetings with the applicant to, to,

980

00:49:03.705 --> 00:49:04.705

to strengthen that as far as

981

00:49:04.705 --> 00:49:08.625

I'm concerned, more as um, Anglia water, um, that,

982

00:49:08.645 --> 00:49:12.225

that's why I alighted upon the words about preparing

983

00:49:12.225 --> 00:49:15.385

with reference to best practice guidance, uh, guidelines,

984

00:49:15.385 --> 00:49:17.225

because that's exactly the sort of point

985

00:49:17.335 --> 00:49:19.345
that those guidelines deal with.

986

00:49:19.765 --> 00:49:23.465
But, um, you know, really we, we don't need to come

987

00:49:23.465 --> 00:49:24.705
to blows over, over this

988

00:49:25.285 --> 00:49:29.025
and, uh, we are prepared to consider any reasonable, uh,

989

00:49:29.055 --> 00:49:30.905
wording suggestions, uh,

990

00:49:30.905 --> 00:49:32.625
even though we don't think they're necessary.

991

00:49:33.505 --> 00:49:37.165
Uh, madam, may I, may I just quickly intervene as well,

992

00:49:37.165 --> 00:49:38.365
Andrew, prior for the applicant,

993

00:49:38.605 --> 00:49:40.165
I think it's worth a general point here

994

00:49:40.165 --> 00:49:42.205
with all these management plans, is

995

00:49:42.205 --> 00:49:43.965
that they are out line management plans

996

00:49:44.345 --> 00:49:46.725
and that the local authority will have full opportunity

997

00:49:47.225 --> 00:49:50.405
to put the detail into the final out final management plans.

998

00:49:50.405 --> 00:49:52.685

This is completely consistent with DCO practice.

999

00:49:52.685 --> 00:49:56.325

We are not seeking today to agree final management plans.

1000

00:49:56.325 --> 00:49:57.845

These are outline management plans

1001

00:49:58.115 --> 00:49:59.845

that provide all the generic background

1002

00:49:59.865 --> 00:50:02.645

and then the relevant officers can provide that at the time

1003

00:50:02.665 --> 00:50:04.045

of discharge of requirements.

1004

00:50:04.085 --> 00:50:05.365

I think that's a really important thing

1005

00:50:05.365 --> 00:50:07.565

that we don't get bogged down in the detail of

1006

00:50:07.565 --> 00:50:09.565

what is effectively an outline application here.

1007

00:50:10.345 --> 00:50:11.445

Now, I, I recognize that,

1008

00:50:11.465 --> 00:50:13.125

but I think it's about striking the right balance.

1009

00:50:16.455 --> 00:50:18.235

Uh, so moving on to trees

1010

00:50:18.495 --> 00:50:22.675

and hedges, please can the applicant confirm

1011

00:50:23.655 --> 00:50:27.075

why paragraph 7.2 0.64 of the code

1012

00:50:27.165 --> 00:50:32.045

of construction practice part a rep 3 26 only refers

1013

00:50:32.045 --> 00:50:34.405

to trees and hedge road protection measures shown in the

1014

00:50:34.405 --> 00:50:37.365

tree protection plans within a PP 1 0 2,

1015

00:50:37.855 --> 00:50:39.325

which is the ABI agricultural report

1016

00:50:39.325 --> 00:50:40.965

for the proposed wastewater treatment plan.

1017

00:50:41.925 --> 00:50:45.325

A PP 1 0 2 does not cover the Water beach pipeline area

1018

00:50:45.325 --> 00:50:47.245

where veteran trees have been identified.

1019

00:50:48.155 --> 00:50:50.965

Does the code of construction practice part A also need

1020

00:50:50.965 --> 00:50:54.045

to refer to reference rep 1 0 3 5,

1021

00:50:54.375 --> 00:50:56.405

which is the Arbi Agricultural Impact Report

1022

00:50:56.425 --> 00:50:57.925

for the Water Beach Pipeline area,

1023

00:51:03.475 --> 00:51:04.625

Clear Squad for the applicant?

1024

00:51:05.405 --> 00:51:07.985

Uh, yes, we will update the that

1025

00:51:07.985 --> 00:51:11.585

to reference the both reports so that the entirety of the,

1026

00:51:11.765 --> 00:51:15.185

uh, cultural impact assessments are referenced in there.

1027

00:51:16.605 --> 00:51:17.035

Thank you.

1028

00:51:25.875 --> 00:51:27.375

Um, Ms. Stevenson, did you want

1029

00:51:27.375 --> 00:51:29.615

to raise a comment? 'cause you've turned on your,

1030

00:51:29.865 --> 00:51:30.865

Sorry. Yes, I can,

1031

00:51:30.865 --> 00:51:31.655

if that's all right.

1032

00:51:31.655 --> 00:51:32.895

Sorry, I thought you might move on

1033

00:51:32.895 --> 00:51:34.015

before I got an opportunity.

1034

00:51:34.315 --> 00:51:35.455

I'm Sophie Stevenson.

1035

00:51:35.475 --> 00:51:37.895

I'm the DC0 consents manager for the applicant.

1036

00:51:38.395 --> 00:51:41.175

Um, apologies I snuck in a little later than the

1037

00:51:41.695 --> 00:51:42.735

original introductions.

1038

00:51:43.195 --> 00:51:45.135

So just on the Veteran tree aspect,

1039

00:51:45.135 --> 00:51:48.375

we only have one veteran tree, which is covered in the Abar

1040

00:51:48.895 --> 00:51:53.215

cultural report for the way, um, the What to Beach Pipeline,

1041

00:51:53.475 --> 00:51:56.335

and it has protected measures which are then secured

1042

00:51:56.335 --> 00:51:58.095

through the code of construction practice,

1043

00:51:58.385 --> 00:52:01.855

which is the fencing of it to a 15 meter buffer,

1044

00:52:02.105 --> 00:52:05.695

which we responded in our XA Q1 to say we updated

1045

00:52:05.695 --> 00:52:08.855

that document and then supplied that document

1046

00:52:08.875 --> 00:52:10.095

as part of that submission.

1047

00:52:12.035 --> 00:52:16.865

Thank you. Cambridge County Council raised

1048

00:52:16.865 --> 00:52:18.225

concerns at deadline three.

1049

00:52:18.655 --> 00:52:22.945

That changes to ES chapter eight on biodiversity rep 2 0 0 7

1050

00:52:23.765 --> 00:52:26.545

Now mean that important hedges would be directly impacted

1051

00:52:26.685 --> 00:52:29.905

by construction due to additional open cut trenching.

1052

00:52:30.895 --> 00:52:33.305

They state that in previous iterations of chapter eight,

1053

00:52:33.595 --> 00:52:36.305

there were no impacts identified on important hedge rows.

1054

00:52:36.885 --> 00:52:38.905

The council have asked why it is I import,

1055

00:52:39.045 --> 00:52:40.225

why it is not possible

1056

00:52:40.225 --> 00:52:43.225

to utilize alternative methods which are being used

1057

00:52:43.225 --> 00:52:45.745

elsewhere on the scheme to avoid direct impacts.

1058

00:52:46.085 --> 00:52:48.465

Can the applicant provide a response to these concerns,

1059

00:52:51.575 --> 00:52:52.955

Claire Quis for the applicant?

1060

00:52:53.095 --> 00:52:55.955

Um, we're aware of this locationally, I believe it's the,

1061

00:52:56.175 --> 00:52:57.995

um, hedge on the water beach pipeline route,

1062

00:52:57.995 --> 00:53:00.155

and we can confirm that that can be avoided

1063

00:53:00.155 --> 00:53:01.675

through trench list construction methods.

1064

00:53:02.735 --> 00:53:06.075

Um, We can update the chapter eight

1065

00:53:06.135 --> 00:53:07.755

by deadline 40. Reflect that

1066

00:53:08.715 --> 00:53:11.035

I, I'm sorry, I'm having difficulty hearing Ms.

1067

00:53:11.155 --> 00:53:14.715

Squires. Uh, it's my thank Sorry, I, I,

1068

00:53:14.755 --> 00:53:15.995

I think I got the gist of it, but,

1069

00:53:15.995 --> 00:53:17.755

but I'm, I'm sorry. Thank you.

1070

00:53:18.725 --> 00:53:22.115

We're, we are happy to confirm, uh, that, that, uh,

1071

00:53:22.715 --> 00:53:27.605

trenchless Construction will be used at the hedge row, uh,

1072

00:53:27.865 --> 00:53:32.765

in question, and we will update the necessary

1073

00:53:33.045 --> 00:53:35.205

documents and submit them at deadline for,

1074

00:53:41.405 --> 00:53:43.145

Do any of the plans need to be updated

1075

00:53:46.385 --> 00:53:47.385

For the applicant? Know the, um,

1076

00:53:47.385 --> 00:53:48.985

the plans show

1077

00:53:48.985 --> 00:53:50.385

there, the hedge row in question?

1078

00:53:56.335 --> 00:53:59.915

Uh, there's a number of plans which show where trenches,

1079

00:54:00.235 --> 00:54:02.675

crossings would be or where open cuts would be, for example,

1080

00:54:03.475 --> 00:54:05.315

I think the, a agricultural impact assessment

1081

00:54:05.375 --> 00:54:07.075

and maybe some of the design plans as well.

1082

00:54:07.165 --> 00:54:10.075

Would those all be updated to reflect that

1083

00:54:11.115 --> 00:54:14.075

HDD crossing of hedge rows?

1084

00:54:16.205 --> 00:54:19.425

Uh, we'll, we'll check those plans to,

1085

00:54:19.605 --> 00:54:22.185

and, um, update anything necessary

1086

00:54:22.925 --> 00:54:24.865

at the next deadline.

1087

00:54:25.415 --> 00:54:26.025

Okay. Thank you.

1088

00:54:35.165 --> 00:54:37.575

I'll be moving on to biodiversity net gain now.

1089

00:54:40.025 --> 00:54:41.365

So regarding the scope,

1090

00:54:43.585 --> 00:54:46.205

can the applicant confirm whether requirement 25

1091

00:54:46.345 --> 00:54:49.285

of the draft ECO would also require an updated, um,

1092

00:54:49.285 --> 00:54:51.885

biodiversity net gain metric calculation as part

1093

00:54:51.885 --> 00:54:54.045

of the biodiversity net gain report to be submitted

1094

00:54:54.045 --> 00:54:55.045

to the relevant planning authority?

1095

00:54:59.155 --> 00:55:01.895

Yes. As part of the updated biodiversity net game report,

1096

00:55:02.085 --> 00:55:04.615

that will also include an updated assessment

1097

00:55:04.615 --> 00:55:05.655

calculation as well.

1098

00:55:09.365 --> 00:55:11.865

Should that be included as part of the wording if the,

1099

00:55:22.715 --> 00:55:24.665

Sorry, madam, as part of the wording

1100

00:55:24.665 --> 00:55:26.905

of its requirement five.

1101

00:55:27.365 --> 00:55:30.105

Uh, 25, yes. 25. Sorry, I got the number wrong.

1102

00:55:32.855 --> 00:55:34.985

Just for the purposes of clarity to ensure that

1103

00:55:34.985 --> 00:55:36.385

that's, that's provided.

1104

00:55:36.725 --> 00:55:38.585

Yes. I'm just looking at Mr.

1105

00:55:38.815 --> 00:55:42.305

Mail who takes charge of drafting Mr. Pryor?

1106

00:55:42.565 --> 00:55:44.865

Um, Madam Andrew prior the applicant, um, the, the,

1107

00:55:44.865 --> 00:55:47.545

the wording of the requirement refers

1108

00:55:47.545 --> 00:55:49.425

to a biodiversity net gain report,

1109

00:55:49.425 --> 00:55:52.625

which I would imagine would include that, uh, calculation.

1110

00:55:53.165 --> 00:55:54.785

If we want to make it specific,

1111

00:55:54.945 --> 00:55:57.385

I suggest we could probably put in a definition to,

1112

00:55:57.645 --> 00:56:00.705

to make it clear that biodiversity net gain report, um,

1113

00:56:01.025 --> 00:56:03.425

includes that it does under the emerging statutory

1114

00:56:03.425 --> 00:56:05.865

requirements under the, under the 1990 Act.

1115

00:56:05.965 --> 00:56:09.185

So I, I think we'll just add that for, for certainty,

1116

00:56:09.205 --> 00:56:10.665

but the intention is there. Yeah.

1117

00:56:11.635 --> 00:56:12.105

Thank you.

1118

00:56:17.335 --> 00:56:22.235

Um, draft ECO requirement 25 2 A states

1119

00:56:22.235 --> 00:56:23.685

that the landscape ecological

1120

00:56:23.785 --> 00:56:26.005

and recreational management plan must detail

1121

00:56:26.025 --> 00:56:29.205

how the measures contained within it contribute towards the

1122

00:56:29.205 --> 00:56:32.685

achievement of 20% biodiversity net gain for the whole

1123

00:56:32.685 --> 00:56:34.005

of the authorized development,

1124

00:56:34.555 --> 00:56:36.365

excluding any biodiversity net gain

1125

00:56:36.365 --> 00:56:37.565

to be provided as river units.

1126

00:56:38.435 --> 00:56:41.445

It's noted that requirement 25 also requires details

1127

00:56:41.645 --> 00:56:43.725

of habitat management and monitoring for the whole

1128

00:56:43.725 --> 00:56:44.845

of the authorized development.

1129

00:56:45.745 --> 00:56:48.805

Can Cambridge County Council confirm if this additional

1130

00:56:48.805 --> 00:56:51.445

requirement addresses their concerns regarding monitoring

1131

00:56:51.545 --> 00:56:54.525

and biodiversity net gain audits on Habitat Works?

1132

00:56:55.545 --> 00:56:57.285

Uh, Ms. Ahmad, uh, Madam

1133

00:56:58.365 --> 00:57:02.055

Cambridge, uh, Debra er, chemistry County Council, um,

1134

00:57:02.195 --> 00:57:05.255

in theory it should cover, if it covers everything

1135

00:57:05.435 --> 00:57:09.055

and everything set out in that, um, requirement,

1136

00:57:09.085 --> 00:57:11.055

then we'd be happy with that.

1137

00:57:11.275 --> 00:57:13.655

The concern I have is that the proposal

1138

00:57:13.755 --> 00:57:14.855

for this requirement is

1139

00:57:14.855 --> 00:57:17.415

for an updated biodiversity net gain report.

1140

00:57:18.235 --> 00:57:19.535

Um, and the,

1141

00:57:20.235 --> 00:57:22.055

and we've had, um, concerns in terms

1142

00:57:22.055 --> 00:57:23.495

of the biodiversity net gain report,

1143

00:57:23.495 --> 00:57:27.495

which has been submitted to the examination, which is, um,

1144

00:57:27.945 --> 00:57:30.095

sorry, I haven't got the, um, report number with me.

1145

00:57:30.475 --> 00:57:33.735

But within that document, it identifies that the delivery

1146

00:57:33.755 --> 00:57:37.055

of biodiversity net gain will be through, uh,

1147

00:57:37.755 --> 00:57:39.335
the three different mechanisms.

1148

00:57:39.335 --> 00:57:41.455
So it'll be the L-E-R-M-P,

1149

00:57:42.035 --> 00:57:45.815
the outline operational management plan based on the outline

1150

00:57:45.875 --> 00:57:48.295
out form manage, uh, management and monitoring plan,

1151

00:57:48.595 --> 00:57:52.215
and also the code of construction practice parts A MB.

1152

00:57:52.755 --> 00:57:56.775
Um, but as we said throughout our consultation response is

1153

00:57:56.775 --> 00:57:59.015
up to that point, we don't feel that all

1154

00:57:59.015 --> 00:58:01.535
of these documents have sufficient information in them at

1155

00:58:01.535 --> 00:58:03.095
the moment to demonstrate

1156

00:58:03.095 --> 00:58:06.895
that there will be adequate biodiversity, uh, management of,

1157

00:58:06.915 --> 00:58:09.015
um, habitats for biodiversity net gain.

1158

00:58:09.275 --> 00:58:12.735
So it's really clarity from the applicant whether the

1159

00:58:12.765 --> 00:58:14.855
updated biodiversity net gain

1160

00:58:15.475 --> 00:58:19.255

report will conglomerate all this information within

1161

00:58:19.565 --> 00:58:22.855

that new, uh, biodiversity net gain report,

1162

00:58:22.875 --> 00:58:25.455

or whether it's gonna be disseminated out again

1163

00:58:25.475 --> 00:58:26.775

to all these other documents,

1164

00:58:26.775 --> 00:58:30.135

which at the moment we feel are incomplete in terms

1165

00:58:30.135 --> 00:58:33.335

of biodiversity net gain and assessments against it,

1166

00:58:39.685 --> 00:58:41.185

Uh, tan Cook for the applicant.

1167

00:58:41.925 --> 00:58:43.665

So the idea is as part

1168

00:58:43.665 --> 00:58:47.745

of under requirement 25 2 C for details

1169

00:58:47.745 --> 00:58:49.305

of the habitat management monitoring,

1170

00:58:49.305 --> 00:58:51.465

which will be included in the updated

1171

00:58:51.785 --> 00:58:53.505

biodiversity net gain report.

1172

00:58:54.125 --> 00:58:56.265

Um, that will include a new section

1173

00:58:56.785 --> 00:58:58.505

covering the habitat management and monitoring.

1174

00:58:58.765 --> 00:59:02.705

And the point of this new requirement is to remove, uh,

1175

00:59:02.765 --> 00:59:05.665

the confusion over the previous requirements under the

1176

00:59:05.665 --> 00:59:08.105

L-E-R-M-P, uh, the COCP

1177

00:59:08.245 --> 00:59:10.225

and the outline outfall management plan.

1178

00:59:10.805 --> 00:59:13.825

The idea is that this new section on the Habitat Management

1179

00:59:13.825 --> 00:59:15.945

Monitoring plan will include that additional detail,

1180

00:59:16.445 --> 00:59:17.545

but it'll also sign

1181

00:59:17.545 --> 00:59:20.305

and post out to the existing documents, which

1182

00:59:20.885 --> 00:59:22.685

as stated in the requirements on,

1183

00:59:22.745 --> 00:59:26.325

on those particular documents will be updated, um, anyway,

1184

00:59:26.345 --> 00:59:28.245

as part of, uh, additional details.

1185

00:59:28.385 --> 00:59:31.165

So the biodiversity net gain aspect, um,

1186

00:59:31.165 --> 00:59:33.605

and habitat management monitoring will be covered in

1187

00:59:33.605 --> 00:59:36.285

that report with signposts out to, uh,

1188

00:59:36.285 --> 00:59:37.685
the relevant documents as well.

1189

00:59:40.265 --> 00:59:43.975
Madam, if I can just, um, just add another point just

1190

00:59:44.075 --> 00:59:46.295
to labor the point a little bit and add clarification.

1191

00:59:46.705 --> 00:59:48.855
These management plans are not incomplete.

1192

00:59:49.365 --> 00:59:50.535
They are out line.

1193

00:59:51.555 --> 00:59:54.215
So again, there's not the intention

1194

00:59:54.215 --> 00:59:56.335
to put all the detail in them at this point.

1195

00:59:56.595 --> 01:00:00.495
And that biodiversity, um, net gain report under

1196

01:00:00.495 --> 01:00:04.175
that requirement secures all of that BNG in a single place

1197

01:00:04.175 --> 01:00:07.615
where a relevant officer at the time could see the relevant

1198

01:00:07.695 --> 01:00:09.815
biodiversity net gain delivered for the site

1199

01:00:09.815 --> 01:00:11.415
as a whole in a single shot.

1200

01:00:12.145 --> 01:00:13.455
Thank you. Um, Ms.

1201
01:00:13.505 --> 01:00:17.175
Ahmad, does that give you the, um, answer?

1202
01:00:18.655 --> 01:00:20.355
Um, I hope so.

1203
01:00:20.355 --> 01:00:22.595
It'd be useful just to have that confirmation.

1204
01:00:23.095 --> 01:00:26.975
Um, uh, within the, I don't, I dunno if it's possible

1205
01:00:26.975 --> 01:00:30.615
to update the existing BNG report just to say that this,

1206
01:00:30.805 --> 01:00:32.375
this information will contain it.

1207
01:00:32.955 --> 01:00:36.735
Um, I do, we do still confi consider those other documents

1208
01:00:37.395 --> 01:00:38.695
are not complete.

1209
01:00:38.955 --> 01:00:41.295
Uh, for example, the, we've erased it

1210
01:00:41.295 --> 01:00:43.855
before in the outline, outfall management plan.

1211
01:00:44.195 --> 01:00:47.295
Uh, there's focus on the ecological area on water bowls,

1212
01:00:47.475 --> 01:00:49.695
and it doesn't really stem out in terms of management

1213
01:00:49.695 --> 01:00:51.495
and monitoring for some of the other,

1214
01:00:51.795 --> 01:00:54.175

for the wider habitats for BNG.

1215

01:00:54.635 --> 01:00:56.535

Um, but that's something we're happy to discuss

1216

01:00:56.535 --> 01:00:58.335

with the applicant, um,

1217

01:00:58.395 --> 01:01:01.055

to point 'em in the right direction of what we mean.

1218

01:01:03.735 --> 01:01:05.975

I think it would be helpful for the, again,

1219

01:01:05.975 --> 01:01:07.335

for the applicant to have a conversation

1220

01:01:07.335 --> 01:01:09.655

with the county council on this

1221

01:01:11.565 --> 01:01:12.935

Yeah, for the applicant.

1222

01:01:13.115 --> 01:01:14.775

Um, that should, that should be fine.

1223

01:01:15.155 --> 01:01:18.775

Um, I think, um, there is possibility

1224

01:01:18.915 --> 01:01:23.055

for the BNG report to be updated for, for deadline four,

1225

01:01:23.055 --> 01:01:26.655

just to provide that clarification on new requirement 25

1226

01:01:26.745 --> 01:01:28.815

draft draft ECA requirement 25.

1227

01:01:32.575 --> 01:01:35.525

Madam, just for your note, uh, uh, the latest version

1228
01:01:35.665 --> 01:01:38.405
of the, uh, BNG report,

1229
01:01:38.645 --> 01:01:40.725
I think is rep 2 0 2 0,

1230
01:01:48.265 --> 01:01:49.815
Madam Andrew part, the applicant.

1231
01:01:49.825 --> 01:01:52.135
Would it, would it be useful to the panel if we

1232
01:01:52.335 --> 01:01:54.975
provided a short briefing note explaining

1233
01:01:55.195 --> 01:01:57.415
how BNG is secured across the whole development

1234
01:01:57.795 --> 01:02:00.375
and the mechanisms which deliver it in a, in a single note,

1235
01:02:00.375 --> 01:02:02.975
which could then be agreed with all the relevant parties?

1236
01:02:03.635 --> 01:02:07.135
Yes, I think based on the updated requirement, the updates

1237
01:02:07.135 --> 01:02:09.175
to the b and g report and how that's going

1238
01:02:09.175 --> 01:02:10.655
to be delivered, yes, I think that would be helpful. So

1239
01:02:10.695 --> 01:02:12.495
A, a user guide to requirement 25?

1240
01:02:12.515 --> 01:02:13.855
Yes. Yes. Thank you. Madam.

1241
01:02:17.955 --> 01:02:21.285

Can Cambridge County Council confirm if the updated

1242

01:02:21.285 --> 01:02:24.285

biodiversity net gain report addresses their concerns

1243

01:02:24.285 --> 01:02:26.005

regarding loss of Reed habitat,

1244

01:02:27.505 --> 01:02:29.395

Deborah Armour Chemistry County Council?

1245

01:02:29.855 --> 01:02:33.475

Yes, we do that. We consider that matter to be resolved.

1246

01:02:36.625 --> 01:02:40.175

Thank you. Uh,

1247

01:02:40.255 --> 01:02:45.175

requirement 25 2 B of the draft, DCO requires details

1248

01:02:45.175 --> 01:02:46.175

of measures to deliver

1249

01:02:46.235 --> 01:02:47.415

and secure 20%

1250

01:02:47.475 --> 01:02:50.055

by diversity net gain comprising river units

1251

01:02:50.055 --> 01:02:51.695

potentially outside of the order limits.

1252

01:02:52.555 --> 01:02:54.935

Can the applicant confirm, is it possible

1253

01:02:55.005 --> 01:02:56.015

that a legal agreement

1254

01:02:56.085 --> 01:02:58.695

with the financial obligation may be necessary

1255

01:02:58.695 --> 01:02:59.855
to secure the river units,

1256

01:03:11.865 --> 01:03:13.005
Andrew, prior to the applicant?

1257

01:03:13.105 --> 01:03:15.655
Um, it's possible.

1258

01:03:15.775 --> 01:03:19.055
I don't necessarily think it's, it's, um, required

1259

01:03:19.055 --> 01:03:21.935
because the, because we are required under that to show

1260

01:03:21.935 --> 01:03:23.055
that how it's secured.

1261

01:03:23.275 --> 01:03:26.415
So whether that's a private treaty with another landowner,

1262

01:03:26.995 --> 01:03:31.855
um, uh, acquisition of those units on the market, um,

1263

01:03:31.915 --> 01:03:33.695
and the emerging market, uh,

1264

01:03:33.755 --> 01:03:36.295
or on other Anglia Waterland elsewhere,

1265

01:03:36.485 --> 01:03:38.295
that mechanism is deliberately left open.

1266

01:03:38.795 --> 01:03:42.735
But the, uh, certainty for stakeholders is that

1267

01:03:42.735 --> 01:03:46.215
that requirement has to, we have to show how that's secured.

1268

01:03:46.315 --> 01:03:47.655

Yes. I'm, I'm just asking is

1269

01:03:47.655 --> 01:03:49.295

that a poss a possibility in the future

1270

01:03:49.295 --> 01:03:51.015

that may arise as a result of the

1271

01:03:51.015 --> 01:03:52.175

Require? It could be. It could be. Okay.

1272

01:03:52.175 --> 01:03:52.895

Yeah, that's fine.

1273

01:03:58.155 --> 01:04:00.815

In that case, could the applicant set out

1274

01:04:00.875 --> 01:04:02.015

how any legal agreement

1275

01:04:02.275 --> 01:04:04.415

or potential financial obligation secured

1276

01:04:04.415 --> 01:04:07.895

by requirement 25 would meet the relevant tests referred

1277

01:04:07.895 --> 01:04:10.095

to in paragraph 3.1 0.6

1278

01:04:10.475 --> 01:04:13.735

and 3.1, 0.7 0.7 of the, um, um,

1279

01:04:14.175 --> 01:04:15.575

national policy statement on wastewater?

1280

01:04:28.555 --> 01:04:30.165

This is about negatively worded

1281

01:04:31.005 --> 01:04:33.285

requirements securing financial obligations.

1282

01:04:36.335 --> 01:04:39.555

Um, Madam Andrew per, I mean, the, the, the, this

1283

01:04:40.405 --> 01:04:42.435

isn't securing a financial obligation.

1284

01:04:42.435 --> 01:04:46.195

It's securing a requirement to obtain those, uh, units

1285

01:04:46.385 --> 01:04:48.315

through a variety of mechanisms that could,

1286

01:04:48.315 --> 01:04:49.875

could include financial,

1287

01:04:49.975 --> 01:04:53.195

but would be a variety of other alternatives as well.

1288

01:04:53.975 --> 01:04:58.795

So, I, I I, I don't think we're, um, in breach of those, uh,

1289

01:04:59.355 --> 01:05:00.515

requirements of policy,

1290

01:05:00.575 --> 01:05:02.315

but Mr. May will probably add something to that.

1291

01:05:04.375 --> 01:05:05.795

Uh, yes, Paul May for the applicant.

1292

01:05:05.915 --> 01:05:07.995

I would also highlight that the, the, the approach

1293

01:05:07.995 --> 01:05:11.595

and the wording, uh, to requirement 25 has been informed

1294

01:05:11.735 --> 01:05:15.395

by the, uh, new wording that's been inserted in the Town

1295

01:05:15.395 --> 01:05:19.205

and Country Planning Act, uh, for, uh, BNG provision

1296

01:05:19.205 --> 01:05:21.725

as a result, the provisions of the Environment Act 2021.

1297

01:05:21.745 --> 01:05:23.645

So there is, there is a synergy between

1298

01:05:23.645 --> 01:05:26.485

what the applicant is proposing in the draft DC0 under

1299

01:05:26.485 --> 01:05:30.045

requirement 25 and, um, what government is proposing

1300

01:05:30.045 --> 01:05:32.805

as the condition to be imposed upon any planning permission,

1301

01:05:33.585 --> 01:05:36.455

um, through the TCPA, uh, consenting route.

1302

01:05:39.595 --> 01:05:40.855

So you've said that it, it,

1303

01:05:40.855 --> 01:05:43.655

there could be a financial obligation as a result

1304

01:05:43.655 --> 01:05:47.065

of this requirement, and,

1305

01:05:47.125 --> 01:05:48.865

but I don't think you've answered the question of

1306

01:05:49.805 --> 01:05:53.145

how it meets the tests in reference to three paragraph,

1307

01:05:53.145 --> 01:05:57.785

3.1 0.6, and 3.1 0.7 of the NPS

1308

01:05:57.815 --> 01:05:59.505

Paul Paul mail for the applicant.

1309

01:05:59.925 --> 01:06:04.425

Um, the requirement obliges clarity

1310

01:06:04.685 --> 01:06:09.265

to be provided as to how BNG 20% BNG,

1311

01:06:09.525 --> 01:06:12.705

uh, will be provided in relation, uh, to this development.

1312

01:06:13.205 --> 01:06:17.025

And then to explain the mechanism to ensuring how

1313

01:06:17.025 --> 01:06:18.225

that will be secured.

1314

01:06:18.685 --> 01:06:21.905

It does not in itself oblige, uh,

1315

01:06:22.105 --> 01:06:24.345

a financial a financial payment.

1316

01:06:24.885 --> 01:06:28.425

It, it, it provides clarity over what the mechanism is

1317

01:06:28.525 --> 01:06:29.785

and the reporting mechanism.

1318

01:06:34.745 --> 01:06:38.905

I, I still think it'd be useful for you to say how

1319

01:06:38.905 --> 01:06:40.905

that would address 3.16.

1320

01:06:41.105 --> 01:06:43.385

'cause we'll have to report, you know, if there's potential

1321

01:06:43.385 --> 01:06:48.345

for a financial, uh, obligation as a result of requirement,

1322

01:06:48.345 --> 01:06:51.825

then you, well, according to that paragraph, would need

1323

01:06:51.825 --> 01:06:55.865

to demonstrate the, except exceptional circumstances.

1324

01:06:57.285 --> 01:07:00.385

Um, and we need to know what they are.

1325

01:07:02.385 --> 01:07:03.905

I, uh, pull me off the applicant.

1326

01:07:04.105 --> 01:07:05.425

I think we'll take it away. We'll address

1327

01:07:05.425 --> 01:07:06.585

it, we'll, we'll address it in writing.

1328

01:07:06.705 --> 01:07:08.225

I, I think I'm entirely comfortable with

1329

01:07:08.225 --> 01:07:09.225

what the requirement is, is

1330

01:07:09.225 --> 01:07:10.545

suggesting, but, but we'll do that.

1331

01:07:20.215 --> 01:07:22.185

What sort of length of agreement

1332

01:07:22.365 --> 01:07:25.705

or management period does the applicant envisage undertaking

1333

01:07:25.775 --> 01:07:27.905

with the provider of River units, for example,

1334

01:07:31.005 --> 01:07:34.105

Um, Andrew Par, applicant?

1335

01:07:34.155 --> 01:07:37.105

Madam, it, they would be consistent with the, um,

1336

01:07:37.865 --> 01:07:41.785

requirements of the, uh, of, of the, uh, of the act,

1337

01:07:41.785 --> 01:07:44.065

the Environment Act for a 30 year period.

1338

01:07:44.205 --> 01:07:47.665

Um, those would presumably be secured under a conservation

1339

01:07:47.905 --> 01:07:49.505

covenant in, in accordance with,

1340

01:07:49.505 --> 01:07:51.545

with the relevant primary legislation

1341

01:07:51.925 --> 01:07:53.145

so they would be fully aligned.

1342

01:07:53.145 --> 01:07:55.985

And again, the requirement requires us to show

1343

01:07:55.985 --> 01:07:57.145

that that that BNG,

1344

01:08:00.545 --> 01:08:01.545

Yes, it does. I think

1345

01:08:01.545 --> 01:08:03.725

it, it didn't have any sort of length

1346

01:08:03.725 --> 01:08:06.085

of management period, which would align with, for example,

1347

01:08:06.225 --> 01:08:08.885

the landscape, ecological and recreational management plan.

1348

01:08:10.465 --> 01:08:12.885

Um, Madden, we'll, we'll look at the,

1349

01:08:12.885 --> 01:08:16.005

we'll look at the wording, but the intention is that BNG has

1350

01:08:16.005 --> 01:08:17.325
to be delivered for 30 years and

1351

01:08:17.325 --> 01:08:19.845
therefore the units acquired would be secured for 30 years.

1352

01:08:20.775 --> 01:08:25.595
Thank you. Could the

1353

01:08:25.595 --> 01:08:27.515
applicant, and this may be something that you need

1354

01:08:27.515 --> 01:08:29.235
to take away and, and come back to us with,

1355

01:08:29.235 --> 01:08:31.995
but could the applicant provide some examples of providers

1356

01:08:31.995 --> 01:08:34.555
or schemes which could deliver the necessary biodiversity

1357

01:08:34.575 --> 01:08:38.115
net gain river units offsite for information?

1358

01:08:38.815 --> 01:08:40.155
Yes, madam. We can, we can do that.

1359

01:08:44.525 --> 01:08:47.745
Do any of the councils have any comments on the matter?

1360

01:08:48.485 --> 01:08:50.985
Um, on the matters we've outlined, um,

1361

01:08:51.055 --> 01:08:52.465
does this satisfy their concerns

1362

01:08:52.465 --> 01:08:55.665
regarding secur securing biodiversity net gain offsite

1363
01:08:56.165 --> 01:08:57.945
or through a requirement for a legal agreement?

1364
01:09:00.295 --> 01:09:02.515
Let me just check with my various clients.

1365
01:09:12.285 --> 01:09:15.065
Whilst the county is, is checking, um, um,

1366
01:09:15.065 --> 01:09:16.305
Mr. Weaver would like

1367
01:09:16.305 --> 01:09:18.145
to make comments on behalf of the other authorities.

1368
01:09:20.045 --> 01:09:22.465
Um, Daniel Weaver, uh, Greta King, we share planning.

1369
01:09:22.565 --> 01:09:24.545
Um, I completely understand that the point of view

1370
01:09:24.565 --> 01:09:26.745
of the applicant, I think from the sort

1371
01:09:26.745 --> 01:09:29.425
of planning authority perspective, it's,

1372
01:09:29.425 --> 01:09:30.505
it's a case of monitoring.

1373
01:09:30.505 --> 01:09:32.425
And who is responsible for that monitoring?

1374
01:09:32.725 --> 01:09:34.345
So they mentioned Conservation Covenant.

1375
01:09:34.485 --> 01:09:36.625
At the moment, there are no responsible bodies

1376
01:09:36.655 --> 01:09:38.785

that a conservation covenant can be undertaken with.

1377

01:09:39.445 --> 01:09:42.345

Um, we know of no providers within the, the,

1378

01:09:42.405 --> 01:09:45.065

the South Cambridge or wider Cambridge area

1379

01:09:45.335 --> 01:09:47.545

that would be able to supply river habitat units,

1380

01:09:47.915 --> 01:09:51.825

which means that the applicant may be reduced to, uh,

1381

01:09:51.855 --> 01:09:55.385

statutory units purchased from, um, uh, natural England.

1382

01:09:55.385 --> 01:09:58.745

So we, we kind of need to know what processes they're going

1383

01:09:58.745 --> 01:10:01.025

through in order to get, get those units

1384

01:10:01.565 --> 01:10:02.585

and who they will be with.

1385

01:10:02.645 --> 01:10:05.505

And then in terms of securing how they will be secured,

1386

01:10:05.505 --> 01:10:08.545

if it comes down to a landowner within the, the,

1387

01:10:08.565 --> 01:10:10.985

the jurisdiction of either South Cambridge District Council

1388

01:10:11.005 --> 01:10:13.945

or the county council, those likely to be a need,

1389

01:10:14.025 --> 01:10:16.785

a requirement for a 1 0 6 if no conservation covenant

1390

01:10:16.785 --> 01:10:18.105
or responsible body is available.

1391

01:10:18.125 --> 01:10:20.785
And that would then require a legal agreement between us

1392

01:10:20.785 --> 01:10:22.665
and, and that landowner to, for

1393

01:10:22.665 --> 01:10:23.705
that, for that to go forward.

1394

01:10:23.845 --> 01:10:25.825
So I think we, we just want to have a little bit

1395

01:10:25.825 --> 01:10:27.985
of security, a little bit of forward thinking on, on

1396

01:10:27.985 --> 01:10:29.905
what we are likely to be facing when it comes

1397

01:10:29.905 --> 01:10:31.385
to securing those river habitat units.

1398

01:10:34.195 --> 01:10:36.215
Um, obviously we're talking about, uh,

1399

01:10:36.295 --> 01:10:41.055
biodiversity net gain, um, report or additional information,

1400

01:10:41.055 --> 01:10:42.135
but perhaps that's something

1401

01:10:42.135 --> 01:10:44.615
that the applicant could outline as well. Um,

1402

01:10:45.115 --> 01:10:48.415
Yes, Madam Manager private applicant, I mean, taking the,

1403

01:10:48.415 --> 01:10:49.655

the larger point first,

1404

01:10:50.275 --> 01:10:52.455

the local authority security is in the requirement.

1405

01:10:52.555 --> 01:10:55.575

If we don't show that 20% biodiversity net gain in river

1406

01:10:55.575 --> 01:10:57.735

units has been delivered, the project doesn't go ahead.

1407

01:10:58.115 --> 01:11:02.175

So the protection for the, for the council is complete.

1408

01:11:02.595 --> 01:11:05.825

Um, uh, we

1409

01:11:06.775 --> 01:11:09.505

take the point about providers, uh, locally,

1410

01:11:11.485 --> 01:11:12.785

the there is a hierarchy.

1411

01:11:12.845 --> 01:11:15.205

We, we will look locally and then move more nationally.

1412

01:11:15.505 --> 01:11:18.445

Um, uh, statutory credits wouldn't be available to us.

1413

01:11:19.185 --> 01:11:22.605

Um, but we're also, the market is emerging very,

1414

01:11:22.605 --> 01:11:25.005

very quickly now that the, the relevant legislation

1415

01:11:25.005 --> 01:11:26.245

and guidance is in place

1416

01:11:26.585 --> 01:11:30.645

and by the time these units are required, there will be

1417
01:11:31.965 --> 01:11:33.805
sufficient out there for us to secure

1418
01:11:33.825 --> 01:11:35.085
and we're pretty confident about that

1419
01:11:35.085 --> 01:11:38.205
and we'll, we'll provide a short note on potential sources.

1420
01:11:38.535 --> 01:11:39.885
Those could be private landowners,

1421
01:11:39.885 --> 01:11:40.885
it could be environment banks.

1422
01:11:41.265 --> 01:11:43.285
Um, there's, there's lots of, lots of stuff there.

1423
01:11:43.325 --> 01:11:45.805
I dunno whether, um, Ms. Cook wants to add to that.

1424
01:11:49.915 --> 01:11:51.415
Yes, tan Cook for the applicant.

1425
01:11:51.615 --> 01:11:53.095
I did just want to clarify that.

1426
01:11:53.635 --> 01:11:55.735
Um, because this is not, uh,

1427
01:11:55.735 --> 01:11:58.735
falling under mandatory biodiversity net gain, uh,

1428
01:11:58.735 --> 01:12:00.735
the applicant will not be able to, uh,

1429
01:12:01.095 --> 01:12:02.175
purchase statutory credits.

1430
01:12:03.035 --> 01:12:06.975

So, uh, the applicant is working, uh, with organizations

1431

01:12:07.395 --> 01:12:10.495

and looking for a partnership as already discussed.

1432

01:12:10.595 --> 01:12:13.855

So we're looking ideally within the river cam.

1433

01:12:14.115 --> 01:12:16.655

If that's not possible, then we will look within, uh,

1434

01:12:16.755 --> 01:12:18.735

the catchment that the cam falls under.

1435

01:12:19.435 --> 01:12:24.295

Um, and in response to the relevant reps biodiversity, uh,

1436

01:12:24.475 --> 01:12:28.815

36 and 37 to, um, south Cambridge District Council,

1437

01:12:29.475 --> 01:12:31.535

we did reference that a record of the outcome

1438

01:12:31.535 --> 01:12:33.735

of these discussions will be set out in the statement

1439

01:12:33.735 --> 01:12:36.095

of common ground to the local planning authority as well.

1440

01:12:40.855 --> 01:12:43.925

Thank you madam. Uh, my instructions are

1441

01:12:43.925 --> 01:12:46.125

that the county council agrees with the, um,

1442

01:12:46.785 --> 01:12:48.325

the district council's position,

1443

01:12:49.545 --> 01:12:50.725

but I take on board

1444

01:12:50.805 --> 01:12:52.645

that there will be discussions about the matter.

1445

01:13:04.715 --> 01:13:07.325

Cambridge Chair County council raised concerns in their

1446

01:13:07.325 --> 01:13:09.685

local impact report regarding the ability

1447

01:13:09.785 --> 01:13:13.005

to deliver 20% net biodiversity gain within the area covered

1448

01:13:13.025 --> 01:13:14.245

by the landscape, ecological

1449

01:13:14.305 --> 01:13:15.605

and recreational management plan.

1450

01:13:16.545 --> 01:13:18.205

Can the county council confirm if

1451

01:13:18.205 --> 01:13:19.365

they still have that concern?

1452

01:13:20.775 --> 01:13:22.155

Um, Ms. Arm can answer that.

1453

01:13:24.465 --> 01:13:26.235

Apologies though, you cut out of it.

1454

01:13:26.235 --> 01:13:27.915

Would you be able to repeat the question?

1455

01:13:28.495 --> 01:13:29.875

Yes, of course. Uh,

1456

01:13:29.875 --> 01:13:32.155

Cambridge County Council raised concerns in their local

1457

01:13:32.155 --> 01:13:36.035

impact report regarding the ability to deliver 20% net

1458

01:13:36.035 --> 01:13:38.875

by diversity gain within the area covered by the landscape,

1459

01:13:39.275 --> 01:13:41.475

ecological and recreational management plan.

1460

01:13:42.175 --> 01:13:43.355

Do you still have that concern,

1461

01:13:44.325 --> 01:13:46.035

Debra Ahmed, chemistry County Council?

1462

01:13:46.655 --> 01:13:49.555

No, because everything's been covered under the requirement

1463

01:13:50.015 --> 01:13:53.995

25, um, we're satisfied that in terms of, uh,

1464

01:13:54.355 --> 01:13:57.915

terrestrial habitat that the 20% will be delivered, uh,

1465

01:13:57.975 --> 01:14:01.595

and for the, um, and for linear habitats as well.

1466

01:14:02.185 --> 01:14:06.195

It's just the, um, river habitat, which is the concern,

1467

01:14:06.495 --> 01:14:09.955

and that's already been discussed by Dan Weaver, uh,

1468

01:14:10.055 --> 01:14:12.405

and the applicant just before now.

1469

01:14:12.425 --> 01:14:14.525

So that's the only remaining concern, really.

1470

01:14:14.945 --> 01:14:17.845

Um, otherwise, uh, we're satisfied.

1471
01:14:18.825 --> 01:14:20.405
All other matters have been addressed.

1472
01:14:21.415 --> 01:14:23.325
Thank you. I'll move on

1473
01:14:23.325 --> 01:14:25.925
to the operational outfall management plan with reference,

1474
01:14:26.025 --> 01:14:27.885
uh, REP 2 26,

1475
01:14:30.375 --> 01:14:33.825
requirement ten eight of the draft.

1476
01:14:33.945 --> 01:14:35.625
EC0 requires the provision

1477
01:14:35.625 --> 01:14:37.985
of a detailed operational outfall management

1478
01:14:37.985 --> 01:14:40.625
and monitoring plan following commencement

1479
01:14:40.625 --> 01:14:42.025
of the operation of the outfall.

1480
01:14:42.575 --> 01:14:43.785
This could allow for provision

1481
01:14:43.785 --> 01:14:45.825
of the plan at any time following commencement

1482
01:14:45.825 --> 01:14:47.345
of the operation of the outfall.

1483
01:14:48.045 --> 01:14:50.945
Should the wording, should the word following be amended

1484
01:14:50.945 --> 01:14:53.985

to prior to commencement of operation of the outfall

1485

01:15:06.505 --> 01:15:07.515

Paul May for the applicant?

1486

01:15:07.595 --> 01:15:09.475

A, a Apologies Maam, could you just rep,

1487

01:15:09.475 --> 01:15:11.515

could you just repeat that I was scrambling for the, uh,

1488

01:15:11.575 --> 01:15:12.875

for the DC0 and turning the page.

1489

01:15:13.055 --> 01:15:16.915

So yes, requirement ten eight, the draft ECO,

1490

01:15:20.505 --> 01:15:21.525

it requires the provision

1491

01:15:21.525 --> 01:15:23.285

of a detailed operational outfall management

1492

01:15:23.285 --> 01:15:25.565

and monitoring plan following commencement

1493

01:15:25.625 --> 01:15:27.045

of the operation of the outfall.

1494

01:15:28.015 --> 01:15:29.205

Could this allow provision

1495

01:15:29.205 --> 01:15:31.685

of the plan at any time following commencement

1496

01:15:31.785 --> 01:15:33.045

of operation of the outfall?

1497

01:15:33.505 --> 01:15:36.645

And should the word following be amended to prior

1498

01:15:36.665 --> 01:15:38.885

to commencement of operation of the outfall?

1499

01:15:46.185 --> 01:15:47.805

Uh, thank you man. Paul May for the, uh,

1500

01:15:47.805 --> 01:15:49.925

applicant, we'll take it away.

1501

01:15:49.925 --> 01:15:51.725

I'll take, take the point.

1502

01:15:52.365 --> 01:15:55.165

I think the intention is effectively for the plan

1503

01:15:55.165 --> 01:15:58.525

to be implemented upon commencement, uh, of the operation

1504

01:15:58.525 --> 01:16:01.605

of the outfall, but, um, that we understand

1505

01:16:01.605 --> 01:16:03.205

what your point is, we're taken away to see if that

1506

01:16:03.205 --> 01:16:04.365

that wording can be improved.

1507

01:16:04.715 --> 01:16:05.715

Yeah,

1508

01:16:08.695 --> 01:16:11.025

Cambridge to county Council within their response

1509

01:16:11.025 --> 01:16:14.545

to the deadline to submissions suggest that the outline out

1510

01:16:14.725 --> 01:16:17.065

for management and monitoring plan should be updated

1511

01:16:17.165 --> 01:16:19.465

to include a requirement for a detailed design

1512

01:16:19.485 --> 01:16:22.825

and construction method statement for all habitat creation

1513

01:16:22.885 --> 01:16:25.665

and reinstatement works in works area 32,

1514

01:16:25.915 --> 01:16:27.105

which includes the Outfall

1515

01:16:27.365 --> 01:16:29.785

and 39, which the ecological mitigation area.

1516

01:16:30.645 --> 01:16:32.545

Can the applicant confirm how they intend

1517

01:16:32.545 --> 01:16:35.425

to secure the detailed design of works areas 32

1518

01:16:35.445 --> 01:16:38.185

and 39 Noting that the Outfall management

1519

01:16:38.185 --> 01:16:41.025

and monitoring plan still refers to Biodiversity net Game

1520

01:16:52.345 --> 01:16:53.725

Claire Squires for the applicant.

1521

01:16:53.905 --> 01:16:56.845

Um, we believe that this is a matter that we can discuss

1522

01:16:56.845 --> 01:16:58.485

with the, the County Council

1523

01:16:58.865 --> 01:17:01.725

and, uh, south Canada State Council on wording change within

1524

01:17:01.825 --> 01:17:04.965

the outline plan just to confirm that the plan covers off

1525

01:17:05.745 --> 01:17:09.925

not only the, the, um, created habitat for Waterfall,

1526

01:17:09.925 --> 01:17:12.125

but also all of the created ditches,

1527

01:17:12.265 --> 01:17:16.845

and that when those detailed outfall MA management

1528

01:17:16.845 --> 01:17:18.645

and monitoring plans are submitted for review,

1529

01:17:18.645 --> 01:17:19.885

that they will contain those method

1530

01:17:19.885 --> 01:17:21.285

statements and design details.

1531

01:17:24.925 --> 01:17:26.225

Should the Outfall Management

1532

01:17:26.225 --> 01:17:29.105

and monitoring plan still refer to Biodiversity net gain?

1533

01:17:36.935 --> 01:17:38.795

Uh, Madam Andrew, prior the applicant, uh, I,

1534

01:17:38.995 --> 01:17:40.955

I think given the new wording of requirement 25,

1535

01:17:40.955 --> 01:17:42.195

it doesn't need to, um,

1536

01:17:42.215 --> 01:17:44.275

and for clarity we should probably remove it.

1537

01:17:59.365 --> 01:18:02.425

How is monitoring controlled prior to the operation

1538

01:18:02.645 --> 01:18:03.705

of the outfall area?

1539

01:18:09.935 --> 01:18:13.135

A detailed plan is only required upon Operation

1540

01:18:24.185 --> 01:18:25.185

Madam Manager. Could

1541

01:18:25.185 --> 01:18:27.295

you clarify what would be monitored?

1542

01:18:27.635 --> 01:18:32.415

Uh, I think during the construction phase, the code

1543

01:18:32.415 --> 01:18:34.735

of construction practice and the SSP would monitor those

1544

01:18:34.785 --> 01:18:37.255

activities and during the operational phase,

1545

01:18:38.355 --> 01:18:40.455

the operational management plan would provide

1546

01:18:40.455 --> 01:18:41.655

monitoring those activities.

1547

01:18:41.655 --> 01:18:43.895

So I'm not sure there's a gap in

1548

01:18:44.495 --> 01:18:45.495

Authorizing, sorry, bring me back. The,

1549

01:18:45.495 --> 01:18:48.535

the co the Construction Environmental Plan, uh,

1550

01:18:48.535 --> 01:18:49.535

Sorry. The code of construction

1551

01:18:49.535 --> 01:18:50.415

practice linked with,

1552

01:18:50.775 --> 01:18:53.695

with the Construction Environment Management Plan would

1553

01:18:53.695 --> 01:18:56.815

provide the monitoring of those activities

1554

01:18:56.815 --> 01:18:57.935

during construction.

1555

01:18:58.245 --> 01:19:01.135

Okay. And then once it passed into operation,

1556

01:19:01.715 --> 01:19:04.215

the operational management plan would provide that?

1557

01:19:05.815 --> 01:19:07.175

I dunno if, uh, Ms.

1558

01:19:07.295 --> 01:19:09.775

Squires would like to add anything to that.

1559

01:19:15.085 --> 01:19:15.305

Um,

1560

01:19:15.365 --> 01:19:16.745

Can you repeat the original question?

1561

01:19:16.835 --> 01:19:19.185

Sorry, Claire. For the applicant I was

1562

01:19:19.185 --> 01:19:21.705

Monitoring controlled prior to operation

1563

01:19:23.755 --> 01:19:25.105

Under Requirement 10.

1564

01:19:25.605 --> 01:19:29.625

Um, the, the construction works within the area can't

1565

01:19:29.785 --> 01:19:31.985

commence until the plan has been submitted to an improved,

1566

01:19:32.005 --> 01:19:34.505

and that plan itself would include monitoring details,

1567

01:19:35.685 --> 01:19:38.545

so the, the person responsible for those works.

1568

01:19:39.005 --> 01:19:40.465

Um, and the, the kind of in

1569

01:19:40.725 --> 01:19:42.785

and implementing that plan would be the people

1570

01:19:42.785 --> 01:19:44.065

completing that monitoring?

1571

01:19:44.605 --> 01:19:47.505

Yes. That's, that was operation onwards, wasn't it? No,

1572

01:19:47.505 --> 01:19:48.505

No. Also from construction

1573

01:19:48.505 --> 01:19:48.695

1574

01:19:50.195 --> 01:19:52.605

Under the under which,

1575

01:19:52.615 --> 01:19:54.365

which plan would that be then? So the

1576

01:19:54.365 --> 01:19:56.005

Outfall management

1577

01:19:56.005 --> 01:19:59.645

and monitoring plan is a plan which spans from con from

1578

01:19:59.925 --> 01:20:01.085

construction through to operation.

1579

01:20:01.105 --> 01:20:03.125

So there will be several iterations of that plan,

1580

01:20:03.625 --> 01:20:05.925

But I think the, the way that the requirement's written is

1581

01:20:05.925 --> 01:20:08.365

that a detailed plan is only only required upon operation.

1582

01:20:08.905 --> 01:20:10.525

So that doesn't include construction.

1583

01:20:11.865 --> 01:20:13.285

Uh, Paul mails for the applicant.

1584

01:20:13.375 --> 01:20:16.565

There, there are two parts effectively to requirement 10.

1585

01:20:17.145 --> 01:20:21.285

Um, if, if, if, if we look at, um, uh, requirement 10,

1586

01:20:21.315 --> 01:20:25.565

requirement ten one, um, to ten four

1587

01:20:26.075 --> 01:20:27.965

effectively deal with a detailed

1588

01:20:28.645 --> 01:20:30.725

construction outfall management plan

1589

01:20:31.465 --> 01:20:36.045

and then requirements, uh, ten five to ten eight

1590

01:20:36.555 --> 01:20:40.885

deal with a detailed operational outfall management plan.

1591

01:20:40.885 --> 01:20:42.285

So there's, there's, there's separation

1592

01:20:42.285 --> 01:20:45.005

between those two sections and they will be separate plans.

1593

01:20:52.975 --> 01:20:57.815

Thank you. At deadline two,

1594

01:20:58.355 --> 01:21:00.575

the applicant states that they do not consider

1595

01:21:00.575 --> 01:21:02.335

that a DC requirement

1596

01:21:02.335 --> 01:21:05.215

for further CFD modeling is required given, given

1597

01:21:05.215 --> 01:21:08.335

that this 3D velocity mixed modeling report does

1598

01:21:08.335 --> 01:21:09.615

not indicate increased erosion.

1599

01:21:10.815 --> 01:21:15.225

However, ES chapter eight, reference rep 2 0 0 7,

1600

01:21:15.655 --> 01:21:19.665

paragraph 4.3 0.29 states that

1601

01:21:20.175 --> 01:21:22.545

further CFD modeling of the impact

1602

01:21:23.005 --> 01:21:24.785

of maximum storm discharges

1603

01:21:24.805 --> 01:21:27.265

and normal river flow conditions on the riverbank

1604

01:21:28.415 --> 01:21:30.305

will inform the final outfall design.

1605

01:21:31.435 --> 01:21:33.215

The environmental statement indicates

1606

01:21:33.215 --> 01:21:35.255
that CFD modeling along, along

1607

01:21:35.255 --> 01:21:38.095
with other mitigation measures, reduces the impacts

1608

01:21:38.095 --> 01:21:41.015
of scour on the river cam from moderate adverse,

1609

01:21:41.015 --> 01:21:42.975
which is significant to slight adverse,

1610

01:21:42.975 --> 01:21:44.175
which is not significant.

1611

01:21:45.395 --> 01:21:49.655
The applicant's initial response to q 1 21 0.31

1612

01:21:50.525 --> 01:21:53.455
also indicates that further CFD modeling is necessary

1613

01:21:53.635 --> 01:21:55.455
to inform the final outfall design.

1614

01:21:56.825 --> 01:21:58.325
Why does the applicant now propose

1615

01:21:58.325 --> 01:22:00.765
that additional modeling is not required as this appears

1616

01:22:00.765 --> 01:22:02.765
to be contrary to the findings within the Es?

1617

01:22:06.705 --> 01:22:10.785
Um, madam the applicant, uh,

1618

01:22:10.965 --> 01:22:15.145
is content, uh, to accept that that modeling be done.

1619

01:22:15.925 --> 01:22:18.865

Um, and we will formalize

1620

01:22:18.865 --> 01:22:22.825

that at the stage four submission deadline for,

1621

01:22:24.995 --> 01:22:27.575

And that'll be secured through the, the DCA

1622

01:22:27.575 --> 01:22:28.655

or supporting documents.

1623

01:22:28.915 --> 01:22:33.895

Yes, we'll work out, um, how a, a method, um, precisely,

1624

01:22:33.955 --> 01:22:38.105

but, um, uh, that will happen at the next deadline.

1625

01:22:48.235 --> 01:22:52.495

Moving on to the impacts on the, uh, river Cam County

1626

01:22:53.015 --> 01:22:57.025

Wildlife site, the applicant states

1627

01:22:57.025 --> 01:22:59.825

that a read bed at the proposed outfall would not be

1628

01:23:00.025 --> 01:23:02.105

possible due to the impacts on a public right of way,

1629

01:23:02.445 --> 01:23:04.905

and suggests that any meaningful read bed system in the area

1630

01:23:04.975 --> 01:23:07.325

would need to be approximately 90 hectares in size.

1631

01:23:08.925 --> 01:23:10.165

ES chapter eight concludes that

1632

01:23:10.165 --> 01:23:11.405

after secondary mitigation,

1633

01:23:11.425 --> 01:23:13.525

the effects from scour would not be significant.

1634

01:23:14.385 --> 01:23:15.445

Do the councils consider

1635

01:23:15.445 --> 01:23:18.045

that the impacts from scour would be significant without the

1636

01:23:18.245 --> 01:23:19.325

introduction of a Reed bed system?

1637

01:23:24.915 --> 01:23:26.025

Madam, just checking.

1638

01:23:47.395 --> 01:23:48.735

Ms. Am did you have a

1639

01:23:49.615 --> 01:23:52.095

Response to that Deborah came to your county council?

1640

01:23:52.635 --> 01:23:57.215

Um, from our knowledge, yes, we think that's, uh,

1641

01:23:57.275 --> 01:24:00.495

the Reed wouldn't necessarily need to be required.

1642

01:24:00.495 --> 01:24:03.375

However, we don't have the expertise that would defer

1643

01:24:03.375 --> 01:24:06.815

to the Environment Agency to confirm in terms

1644

01:24:06.815 --> 01:24:11.295

of scouring on a Main river, um, if they think it's a

1645

01:24:12.135 --> 01:24:15.415

adequate, uh, mitigation or whether the re bed is essential.

1646

01:24:17.415 --> 01:24:21.325

Thank you, Adam, nothing from the councils.

1647

01:24:32.285 --> 01:24:33.985

And just moving on to impacts on

1648

01:24:34.535 --> 01:24:36.305

low-end driveway Grasslands

1649

01:24:36.305 --> 01:24:37.585

and Hedges County Wildlife Site,

1650

01:24:40.975 --> 01:24:43.395

please can the applicant clarify whether they consider the

1651

01:24:43.395 --> 01:24:46.235

operational impacts from light spill on the County Wildlife

1652

01:24:46.235 --> 01:24:47.595

site to be significant prior

1653

01:24:47.595 --> 01:24:50.315

to landscape landscaping vegetation establishing

1654

01:24:50.865 --> 01:24:53.115

paragraph 5.1 0.9 vs.

1655

01:24:53.115 --> 01:24:55.915

Chapter eight states that this is a significant effect.

1656

01:24:56.265 --> 01:24:58.515

However, this is not recognized within section four

1657

01:24:58.515 --> 01:25:01.235

of the report or under table five dash one,

1658

01:25:01.235 --> 01:25:02.835

which details the summary of effects.

1659

01:25:05.475 --> 01:25:07.615

Uh, madam, I think we're going to have

1660
01:25:07.615 --> 01:25:08.895
to take this one away, I'm afraid.

1661
01:25:09.555 --> 01:25:10.555
Um,

1662
01:25:20.945 --> 01:25:22.845
Is the applicant able to confirm

1663
01:25:23.105 --> 01:25:26.085
how long the proposed planting set out within the ES would

1664
01:25:26.085 --> 01:25:27.165
take to establish in order

1665
01:25:27.165 --> 01:25:29.845
to reduce light spill on sensitive receptors using the

1666
01:25:29.845 --> 01:25:30.925
County Wildlife site

1667
01:25:31.585 --> 01:25:33.245
to a level which would not be significant?

1668
01:25:35.595 --> 01:25:38.915
I I think we'll put that in the same category please.

1669
01:25:47.755 --> 01:25:49.125
I've got a few questions on this.

1670
01:25:49.125 --> 01:25:51.685
Perhaps they, they would be better placed in

1671
01:25:51.685 --> 01:25:52.965
the action points.

1672
01:25:54.225 --> 01:25:55.605
Yes, Please.

1673
01:26:09.295 --> 01:26:12.235

At deadline one, the Cambridge County Council stated

1674

01:26:12.235 --> 01:26:15.475

that the lighting assessment, uh, reference

1675

01:26:15.495 --> 01:26:18.235

as 100 had not been updated to refer

1676

01:26:18.235 --> 01:26:19.555

to the most recent mitigation

1677

01:26:19.795 --> 01:26:20.955

guidance for bats and lighting.

1678

01:26:21.845 --> 01:26:23.555

Could the county council identify to

1679

01:26:23.555 --> 01:26:25.435

what extent this is likely to affect the findings

1680

01:26:25.435 --> 01:26:26.555

of the lighting assessment?

1681

01:26:28.085 --> 01:26:29.435

Madam, um, Ms. Ahmad,

1682

01:26:33.525 --> 01:26:35.545

Uh, Deborah Ahmad came to county council.

1683

01:26:36.685 --> 01:26:39.705

It, it probably won't affect the, um, outcome

1684

01:26:39.705 --> 01:26:41.385

of the lighting, uh, assessment,

1685

01:26:41.725 --> 01:26:46.105

but it might influence what mitigation is proposed.

1686

01:26:46.605 --> 01:26:49.065

Uh, there was mitigation in the old, uh, document,

1687

01:26:49.065 --> 01:26:52.105

which is slightly changed with the new documentation.

1688

01:26:52.805 --> 01:26:55.665

Um, so it would be helpful to have that updated

1689

01:26:56.285 --> 01:26:59.345

and particularly also within the lighting design strategy,

1690

01:26:59.435 --> 01:27:03.265

which is document ROP three dash oh three zero.

1691

01:27:10.235 --> 01:27:12.195

I did have the same question for the applicant.

1692

01:27:12.195 --> 01:27:14.675

However, if you want to take that away yes.

1693

01:27:14.675 --> 01:27:15.875

And also provide a response

1694

01:27:15.935 --> 01:27:18.235

to the county council, that would be helpful.

1695

01:27:18.815 --> 01:27:21.835

Um, yes. But all these matters are,

1696

01:27:21.975 --> 01:27:23.835

are being dealt with by Ms. Wilson.

1697

01:27:24.015 --> 01:27:25.875

As you know, she's, she's ill at the moment.

1698

01:27:26.575 --> 01:27:29.475

Um, clearly we'll have to see

1699

01:27:29.695 --> 01:27:31.875

how her illness develops, but,

1700

01:27:31.975 --> 01:27:36.435

but we should be able to, uh, submit a deadline for,

1701

01:27:36.615 --> 01:27:38.555

if we have real difficulties about that

1702

01:27:38.555 --> 01:27:42.275

because of illness, then um, we'll communicate

1703

01:27:43.105 --> 01:27:44.275

with the program officer.

1704

01:27:44.605 --> 01:27:46.235

Thank you. I think

1705

01:27:46.235 --> 01:27:48.515

that's probably an appropriate place to take a pause.

1706

01:27:49.495 --> 01:27:53.675

Um, so we'll adjourn the hearing until, uh,

1707

01:27:53.675 --> 01:27:54.755

20 past 11.

1708

01:27:55.345 --> 01:27:57.675

Adam, sorry, just quickly, are we going on

1709

01:27:57.675 --> 01:27:58.755

to water resources next

1710

01:27:58.855 --> 01:28:02.155

or are we now going to deal with the uh, the land quality?

1711

01:28:02.935 --> 01:28:04.795

Um, no, I think we'll just, there's a couple

1712

01:28:04.795 --> 01:28:07.035

of points I wanted to, oh, sorry.

1713

01:28:07.035 --> 01:28:08.795

If that's alright. Thank you.

1714

01:28:12.955 --> 01:28:15.005

When we come back after the break,

1715

01:28:15.115 --> 01:28:16.485

will it be water resources?

1716

01:28:17.315 --> 01:28:18.365

I've got a couple of points that I wanted to,

1717

01:28:19.105 --> 01:28:20.205

to tie up on ecology.

1718

01:28:20.345 --> 01:28:21.885

Yes. Very well. And then we'll move on.

1719

01:28:22.025 --> 01:28:25.245

Yes. Thank you. Choices. And I wanted

1720

01:28:25.245 --> 01:28:27.085

to ask interested parties if they had comments

1721

01:28:27.945 --> 01:28:29.245

on the matters that we discussed.

1722

01:28:29.625 --> 01:28:31.965

Yes. But then it'll be water resources,

1723

01:28:31.965 --> 01:28:33.205

then it'll be land quality.

1724

01:28:33.355 --> 01:28:34.645

Correct. Thank you.